A Simplified Process for Purification and Refolding of Recombinant Human Interferon-α2b

Nima Hezarjaribi¹ and Mohammad Reza Fazeli^{2*}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Basic Science, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran, Iran; ²Department of Drug and Food Control, Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received 31 March 2021; accepted 20 June 2021; published online 4 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: Interferon α-2b is a vital biotherapeutic produced through the recombinant DNA technology in *E. coli*. The recombinant IFN-α2b normally appears as intercellular IBs, which requires intensive refolding and purification steps. **Method:** Purification of IFN-α2b from solubilized IB was performed using two-phase extraction. To optimize refolding conditions, the effects of pH and different additives, including cysteine, cystine, urea, glycerol, Triton X-100, NaCl, and arginine, were investigated. Optimal refolding buffer (0.64 mM of urea, 5.57 mM of cysteine , and 1.8 mM of cystine) was obtained using RSM. The refolding process was performed by an optimized refolding buffer in the dilution and fed-batch refolding method at different protein concentrations (25-1000 μg/mL). **Result:** At a final protein concentration of 500 μg/mL, the fed-batch refolding method yielded in a biological activity of 2.24×10^8 IU/mg, which was nearly twice that of dilution method. **Conclusion:** Fed-batch refolding method resulted in the biologically active IFN-α2b with high purity, which can be used for research and industrial purposes. **DOI:** 10.52547/ibj.26.1.85

Keywords: Interferon alpha-2b, Inclusion bodies, Protein refolding

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Reza Fazeli

The Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (TIPS), Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; Tel.: (+98-21) 6959090; Fax: (+98-21) 66959090; E-mail: mofazeli@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Interferon α -2b is a cytokine of the interferon I family involved in viral diseases. This protein is used for treating hepatitis B and C, as well as cancers such as multiple myeloma, chronic myeloid leukemia, renal cell carcinoma, epidermoid cervical cancer, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, melanoma, medullary carcinoma thyroid, and head and neck cancers [1].

E. coli is one of the IFN-α2b production hosts widely utilized in the biotechnology industry owing to the cost-effectiveness and high production levels. However, the overexpression of the target protein in cytoplasmic environment of *E. coli* often results in its accumulation as insoluble aggregates, called IBs, which inhibit the appropriate protein folding process^[2].

Therefore, in a proper refolding method, different variables should be considered to achieve a high yield of refolded proteins. In general, after IB solubilization, the target protein is purified to remove the impurities [3,4]. Chromatographic methods are mainly applied to purify solubilized proteins such as ion exchange and size exclusion^[5]. These methods are costly and time-consuming for protein purification. Therefore, by advancements in biotechnology, a twophase extraction method using organic compounds, e.g. 2-butanol, is applied to separate and purify the protein. In this method, the total protein charge, solution buffer pH, and molecular protein weight are effective factors in protein migration to the organic or aqueous phase^[6]. In two-phase extraction method, the target protein does purify as an unfold and inactive form, which is needed to be refolded to obtain its native structure. Refolding

List of Abbreviations:

CD, circular dichroism; DTT, dithiothreitol; *E. coli, Escherichia coli*; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; IB, inclusion bodies; NIBSC, National Institute for Biological Standards and Control; RSM, response surface methodology

is the transformation of a protein from an unfolded to a folded state that occurs under optimal conditions of protein concentration, pH, appropriate additives at effective concentrations, and a proper temperature. The presence of denaturing agents used during the IB solubilization step unfolds the target protein; therefore, protein refolding occurs when the denaturant is removed. In this regard, a low concentration of denaturing agents would be present in the refolding buffer to keep the protein soluble^[7].

One of the standard methods for protein refolding is the dilution of solubilized protein in the refolding buffer. Low protein concentrations and reducing intermolecular protein interaction during the refolding process are necessary to decrease the accumulation of proteins and increase the refolding efficiency. In dilution method, an optimized refolding buffer is required to achieve high concentrations of refolded protein^[8]. However, on a large scale, the dilution method is not cost-effective due to the high buffer volume consumption. In this regard, the fed-batch refolding method is applicable when the protein is highly concentrated. In fed-batch refolding, the protein is slowly added to the refolding buffer in the agitator reactor due to the inhibition aggregation in the refolding process. The fed-batch method advantages include the stability of active protein and the obtaining high final concentration of refolded protein^[9].

In the present study, the recombinant IFN- $\alpha 2b$ was isolated as IB. Following the IB solubilization, the purification of IFN- $\alpha 2b$ was accomplished using a two-phase extraction method. Factors affecting the IFN- $\alpha 2b$ refolding were screened and optimized by the design of experiment software. Finally, the achieved optimal condition was examined by both dilution and fed-batch methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

High cell density culture of E. coli

E. coli BL21-DE3 recombinant carrying IFN- α 2b expression cassette (Cell Bank of Zistdaru Danesh, Iran) was cultured and then inoculated into a fermenter (Eppendorf, USA). Fermentation was carried out as described before^[10]. Cells were disrupted with a mechanical homogenizer at a pressure of 500 bar and the IBs were collected at 12000 ×g by centrifugation and were washed three times with PBS buffer.

Solubilization of IB

IB was solubilized in a solubilizing buffer containing SDS 10%, EDTA (5 mM), DTT (50 mM), and Tris (50 mM) at pH 8. For each gram of IB, 10 ml of solubilization buffer was added.

Two-phase extraction

Two-phase extraction was achieved by using an organic-aqueous phase system with PBS^[6], which was then added to the solubilized protein at different pHs (3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5). Subsequently, 2-butanol was added to the solution and centrifuged (10,000 \times g for 12 min). The protein precipitated using 100 mM of sodium acetate at pH 6 (isoelectric point of IFN- α 2b protein) and centrifugation at 10,000 \times g for 5 min. To obtain a soluble form of protein, the pellet was solubilized by using 6M guanidine with 2 mM of EDTA, 5 mM of DTT, and 50 mM of Tris at pH 8.

Dilution refolding method in Plackett-Burman design

The Plackett-Burman experiment was used for screening additives by Minitab 2017 software [4]. The design was performed with eight factors, including $pH^{[11]}$, cysteine, cystine, urea, glycerol, Triton X-100, NaCl, and arginine. Minitab 2017 software designed 12 experiments for the Plackett-Burman model, which was further analyzed using IFN- $\alpha 2b$ antiviral bioassay data. After 24 h, the refolding reaction was stopped, and biological activity was measured using antiviral bioassay.

Refolding optimization

The effective factors (urea, cysteine, and cystine) of the Plackett-Burman model were used in RSM designing. RSM design was carried out for optimal refolding buffer. Response surface was designed with full factorial, central composite, three factors, and six central repetition points. More additives extracted from Plackett-Burman, including 0.4 M of arginine, 5% glycerol, 50 mM of sodium chloride, 1 mM of EDTA, 50 mM of Tris buffer at an optimal pH 8, were added to experiment buffers. The refolding was performed by the dilution method at a concentration of 100 µg/mL protein for 24 hours, and the model was analyzed by the aid of Minitab software. After 24 h, the refolding reaction was stopped, and biological activity was measured using antiviral bioassay.

Fed-batch and dilution refolding methods

Different concentrations of IFN- α 2b protein (25, 75, 150, 300, 500, and 1000 µg/mL) were tested in the fedbatch and dilution methods with an optimized refolding buffer. The fed-batch process was performed using a peristaltic pump with an inlet flow of 1 mL/5 min at 100 rpm agitator in a 350-mL Erlenmeyer reactor for 5 h. The protein refolding reaction was conducted at 4°C for 24 h. Furthermore, the dilution refolding method was carried out at the mentioned protein concentrations in an optimized refolding buffer. After 24 h, the

refolding reaction was stopped, and the biological activity of the refolded protein was determined.

Antiviral bioassay

To perform the antiviral bioassay test, 100 µL of RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FBS was added to each well of a 96 sterile microtiter plate. The sample and NIBSC standard were separately diluted in culture medium at 40 IU, and 100 µL of diluted samples were added to the 96 sterile microtiter plate. Ten two-fold serial dilutions were performed onto the plate. Afterwards, the culture medium containing 5,000 A549 cells was added to each well. The tested plate was incubated at 37±1°C in a CO₂ incubator for 20 h. Then Encephalomyocarditis virus was added to the plate and incubated for 40 h. The tested plate was stained with Amido black, and the absorption was measured by the use of an ELISA reader (BIOTEK, USA) at a wavelength of 610 nm. Thereafter, 4-parameter nonlinear regression analysis was performed by GEN5 2.09 software to calculate the biological activity of refolded IFN-α2b according to the biological activity of NIBSC standard. Refolding yield was calculated by dividing the biological activity of 1 mg of refolded IFN-α2b (IU/mg) to the biological activity of 1 mg of NIBSC.

CD spectroscopy

CD spectra were acquired with an AVIV 215 (AVIV, USA). A 0.1-cm path length quartz cell was used to record data between 180 and 240 nm with a 1-nm sampling interval. The refolded and IFN- α 2b standard (Intron A) protein were desalted by an Amicon centrifugal filter (10 kDa cut-off) and diluted to 0.2 mg/mL.

RESULTS

Two-phase extraction at different pHs

The product of organic and aqueous phases separations at different pHs (3.5, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE^[12]. As indicated in Figure 1, the highest amounts of IFN- α 2b protein migrated to the organic were at pH 3.5 and 4.5, and for aqueous phases were at pH 6.5 and 7.5. However, many impurities were observed at these pHs. At pH 5.5, IFN- α 2b protein was found in organic phase with very low amount of impurities.

Screening and optimization of factors affecting refolding

The results of 12 experiments of Plackett-Burman

screening due to the p < 0.05, show the significant effect of each variable, including arginine, cystine, cysteine, urea, glycerol, and NaCl. The Plackett-Burman design and refolding yield are shown in Table 1. The IFN- α 2b refolding efficiency was investigated by using the central composite RSM with six central repetition points in 20 experiments (Table 2). The optimal refolding buffer (0.64 mM of urea, 5.57 mM of cysteine , and 1.8 mM of cystine) was obtained by RSM method. Furthermore, as depicted in Figure 2, the effect of cysteine and cystine in different concentrations of urea (0.75, 1.5, and 2.25 M) was studied.

Refolding using fed-batch and dilution method at high concentrations

Both Fed-batch and dilution methods were examined regarding the maximum protein concentration of refolded protein (25, 75, 150, 300, 500, and 1000 $\mu g/mL$) in the optimized refolding buffer. At 25, 75, and 150 $\mu g/mL$ of IFN- $\alpha 2b$ protein, the refolding yield was approximately the same in both methods. Furthermore, at 300, 500, and 1000 $\mu g/mL$ of protein, the refolding yields in the dilution method were 65, 40, and 28, while those of the fed-batch method were 86, 80, and 61%.




Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE analysis of IFN- α 2b purification by two-phase extraction method. The best IFN- α 2b purity was obtained in the organic phase. The Figure shows the effect of different pHs of organic (A) and aqueous (B) phases on IFN- α 2b purity, respectively. Lane 1, protein marker; lane 2, pH 3.5; lane 3, pH 4.5; lane 4, pH 5.5; lane 5, pH 6.5; lane 6, pH 7.5; lane 7, pH 8.5. IFN- α 2b protein molecular weight is 19 kDa.

Table. 1 Plackett-Burman design of IFN-α2b refolding

| Experiment | pН | Sodium chloride (mM) | Triton X-100 (%) | Glycerol (%) | Urea (M) | Cysteine (mM) | Cystine (mM) | Arginine (M) | Refolding yield of IFN-a2b |
|------------|-----|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | 9.5 | 300 | 0.125 | 5 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 75.44 |
| 2 | 9.5 | 300 | 0.250 | 5 | 0.5 | 1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 64.50 |
| 3 | 9.5 | 50 | 0.250 | 5 | 2.0 | 4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 69.60 |
| 4 | 9.5 | 50 | 0.250 | 15 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 59.20 |
| 5 | 7.5 | 50 | 0.125 | 5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 90.40 |
| 6 | 7.5 | 50 | 0.125 | 5 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 48.80 |
| 7 | 9.5 | 50 | 0.125 | 15 | 0.5 | 4 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 81.60 |
| 8 | 7.5 | 300 | 0.250 | 15 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 61.20 |
| 9 | 7.5 | 50 | 0.250 | 15 | 0.5 | 4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 62.40 |
| 10 | 7.5 | 300 | 0.125 | 15 | 2.0 | 4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 64.80 |
| 11 | 7.5 | 300 | 0.250 | 5 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 52.80 |
| 12 | 9.5 | 300 | 0.125 | 15 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 25.60 |

Secondary IFN-α2b structure analysis by CD

The far UV CD spectrum of the IFN- α 2b protein is demonstrated in Figure 3. Both sample and standard of IFN- α 2b show a positive band at 193 nm and negative bands at 208 and 222 nm^[13], which are exactly what would be expected from a protein consisting of mainly α -helix structure.

DISCUSSION

Two-phase extraction is an alternative method to chromatographic purification of some proteins. In this method, various factors, such as protein molecular weight, pH, and protein hydrophobicity affect protein migration to the organic or aqueous phase [2]. The obtained results indicated that the PBS buffer with pH 5.5 provided the best conditions for the extraction of IFN- α 2b using the two-phase extraction method with high purity.

The Plackett-Burman method identified effective factors, including urea, cysteine, and cystine. RSM method was also used to optimize refolding buffer. According to the p value obtained from the RSM method, all factors were significant, and a suitable model was designed (data not shown). The smaller standard error indicates that the observations are close to the fitted line in the obtained model. R-square, with a value of 97.5% shows the model fits the data. The contour plot indicates the relationship between response and variables. Figure 2 displays that the optimal urea concentration for refolding of IFN- α 2b is 0.75M. As a denaturing agent, urea promotes protein

solubility by disrupting hydrophobic bonds and breaking hydrogen bond formation^[3]. At low concentrations, urea stabilizes the protein structure, reduces protein interactions, and prevents aggregation^[7]. The present study suggests that the ratio between cysteine and cystine is a critical factor for proper refolding. Basically, the molar ratios of 1:1 to

Table. 2 RSM design of IFN-α2b refolding.

| Experiment | Urea (M) | Cysteine (mM) | Cystine (mM) | Refolding yield of IFN- α2b (%) |
|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.75 | 16.0 | 0.6 | 59.0 |
| 2 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 81.0 |
| 3 | 0.75 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 65.0 |
| 4 | 3.00 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 65.0 |
| 5 | 2.25 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 78.0 |
| 6 | 2.25 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 59.0 |
| 7 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 80.0 |
| 8 | 2.25 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 82.5 |
| 9 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 78.0 |
| 10 | 0.75 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 90.0 |
| 11 | 0.75 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 67.0 |
| 12 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 80.0 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 57.0 |
| 14 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 81.0 |
| 16 | 1.50 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 74.0 |
| 17 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 72.0 |
| 18 | 2.25 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 82.0 |
| 19 | 1.50 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 81.0 |
| 20 | 1.50 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 49.5 |




Fig. 2. The analysis of different concentrations of cysteine/cystine and urea on IFN- α 2b refolding by Minitab 2017 software. Urea concentration: (A) 0.75 M, (B) 1.5 M, and (C) 2.25 M; cysteine concentration: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 mM; cystine concentration: 0.5, 1, and 1.5 mM for A, B, and C.

5:1 of reduced to oxidized thiol groups have been utilized for the proper folding of denatured proteins [14,15]. The results of this investigation demonstrated optimal refolding of IFN- α 2b by using cysteine/cystine redox pairs is 3:1 of molar ratio.

Initial high concentrations of protein in the refolding reaction can lead to a high concentration of folding intermediates, which leads to the formation of aggregated and misfolded proteins^[5]. Therefore, to perform the refolding at high protein concentrations, the fed-batch method was used under controlled conditions. The fed-batch refolding method, at concentrations of 25, 75, 150, 300, and 500 µg/mL, resulted in a higher refolding yield than the dilution method. In IFN-α2b concentration of 1,000 µg/mL in fed-batch, refolding yield reduced due to aggregation. Refolding yield at low concentrations (150 µg/mL) was about 90% in the dilution method. At higher protein concentrations, owing to the rapid decrease of denaturant concentration [16], the refolding yield decreased^[7]. Using the fed-batch method, the amount of misfolded, aggregated and unfolded protein

declined, even at high protein concentrations, and the refolding yield increased. Refolding of IFN- α 2b with a concentration of 500 µg/mL using fed-batch method under optimized condition resulted in the refolding yield of 80% and biological activity of 2.24 \times 10⁸




Fig. 3. The far UV CD spectrum of IFN- α 2b protein.

Iran. Biomed. J. 26 (1): 85-90

IU/mg, which shows this method is suitable for the production of IFN- α 2b with high yield. Moreover, the results of the CD spectrum of standard and refolded IFN- α 2b exhibited a high similarity in the secondary protein structure.

Overall, the developed method for purification and refolding of IFN- α 2b using two-phase extraction and fed-batch refolding is economical compared to chromatographic methods and dilution refolding, making this method promising for the industrial production of this valuable protein.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gull I, Aslam MS, Tipu I, Mushtaq R, Ali TZ, Athar MA. Development of latent Interferon alpha 2b as a safe therapeutic for treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. *Scientific reports* 2019; **9**(1): 1-12.
- 2. Singh A, Upadhyay V, Upadhyay AK, Singh SM, Panda AK. Protein recovery from inclusion bodies of *Escherichia coli* using mild solubilization process. *Microbial cell factories* 2015; **14**(1): 1-10.
- 3. Asmana Ningrum R. Human interferon alpha-2b: a therapeutic protein for cancer treatment. *Scientifica* 2014; **2014**: 970315.
- Tsumoto K, Ejima D, Kumagai I, Arakawa T. Practical considerations in refolding proteins from inclusion bodies. Protein expression and purification 2003; 28(1): 1-8.
- Clark ED. Protein refolding for industrial processes. Current opinion in biotechnology 2001; 12(2): 202-207.
- Patel, Ramesh N. Biocatalysis in the Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Industries. Florida: CRC press; 2006.
- 7. Yamaguchi H, Miyazaki M. Refolding techniques for

- recovering biologically active recombinant proteins from inclusion bodies. *Biomolecles* 2014; **4**(1): 235-251.
- 8. Yang Z, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Zhang T, Feng Y, Lu X, Lan W, Wang J, Wu H, Cao C, Wang X. Highly efficient production of soluble proteins from insoluble inclusion bodies by a two-step-denaturing and refolding method. *PloS one* 2011; **6**(7): e22981.
- 9. Mannall GJ, Titchener-Hooker NJ, Dalby PA. Factors affecting protein refolding yields in a fed-batch and batch-refolding system. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*. 2007; **97**(6):1523-1534.
- Fazeli A, Shojaosadati SA, Fazeli MR, Ilka H. Effect of parallel feeding of oxidizing agent and protein on fedbatch refolding process of recombinant interferon beta-1b. *Process biochemistry* 2011; 46(3): 796-800.
- 11. Zhang T, Xu X, Shen L, Feng Y, Yang Z, Shen Y, Wang J, Jin W, Wang X. Modeling of protein refolding from inclusion bodies. *Acta biochimica et biophysica sinica* 2009; **41**(12): 1044-1052.
- 12. Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 1970; **227**(5259): 680-685.
- 13. Greenfield NJ. Using circular dichroism spectra to estimate protein secondary structure. *Nature protocols* 2006; **1**(6): 2876-2890.
- Tiwari K, Shebannavar S, Kattavarapu K, Pokalwar S, Mishra MK, Chauhan UK. Refolding of recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor: Effect of cysteine/cystine redox system. *Indian journal of biochemistry and biophysics* 2012; 49(4): 285-488.
- Singh SM, Panda AK. Solubilization and refolding of bacterial inclusion body proteins. *Journal of bioscience* and bioengineering 2005; 99(4): 303-310.
- 16. Ho JG, Middelberg AP, Ramage P, Kocher HP. The likelihood of aggregation during protein renaturation can be assessed using the second virial coefficient. *Protein science* 2003; **12**(4): 708-716.