The Immunohistochemistry and Toluidine Blue Roles for Helicobacter pylori Detection in Patients with Gastritis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Helicobacter pylori, which is associated with many upper gastrointestinal diseases, is found in half of the population of the world. Several special stains and immunohistochemistry stain for H. pylori are available. The need for and usefulness of immunohistochemical (IHC) technique has been debated for years. Toluidine blue is a simple stain for microbiological studies and is easily available in laboratories. Therefore, this study was conducted to compare hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Giemsa and toluidine blue staining with immunohistochemistry for detection of H. pylori in patients with gastritis and also to correlate the results of these staining methods with pathological grading.

Methods: We reviewed 54 consecutive gastric biopsy specimens stained by H&E and Giemsa as well as by toluidine blue and immunohistochemistry stains for H. pylori.

Results: H. pylori was positively identified by IHC in 43 (79.63%) patients, while positive samples were found in 18 (33.33%), 24 (44.44%) and 33 (61.11%) patients using H&E, Giemsa and toluidine blue staining methods. Our results showed that classical histological staining methods are not sensitive enough to identify low numbers or coccoid forms of organism, while toluidine blue and immunohistochemistry play an important role in detection of H. pylori infection.

Conclusion: Toluidine blue has been proved to be much more reliable than H&E and Giemsa in detection of H. pylori. In addition, in post treatment biopsies and in biopsies with unexplained chronic active gastritis without histological evidence of H. pylori should have immunohistochemistry done to detect possible low density or coccoid form of organisms. Iran. Biomed. J. 17 (1): 36-41, 2013
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INTRODUCTION

Infection with Helicobacter pylori has been established as an etiological factor in development of gastritis, peptic ulcer, gastric adenocarcinoma and Mucosal-Associated Lymphoid tissue lymphoma [1-6].

This spiral-shaped Gram-negative bacterium [7] is probably one of the most common bacterial infections throughout the world, involving 50% of population in developed countries [4, 8-11] and up to 80-90% of the population in developing countries [12]. Therefore, infection with H. pylori still constitutes a significant medical burden in less industrialized countries [13] and is common in 57-91% of Iranian population [14].

In view of this pathogenic importance and prevalence, accurate detection of H. pylori is essential for management of patients and for eradication of the bacterium following treatment [5, 6].

There are several diagnostic tests for detection of H. pylori and grouped into two categories: (1) invasive tests, such as culture, rapid urease test, histology and PCR and (2) non-invasive tests, which obviate the need for endoscopy and comprise serology, urea breath test, and fecal antigen test [15-19]. Selecting the test relies heavily upon whether a patient requires evaluation with upper endoscopy. Among biopsy-based diagnostic methods for H. pylori infection, PCR and culture are the basic techniques for determination of antibiotic sensitivities. However, they are not widely available for clinical use in Iran and therefore they cannot be routinely recommended. But histology is a common...
method used in Iran laboratories and has the advantage of the ability to evaluate pathogenic changes associated with *H. pylori* infection, such as inflammation, atrophy, intestinal metaplasia and malignancy [17]. Although the sensitivity of histology is affected by the use of medications, but guidelines for the management of *H. pylori* infection recommended histology for patients referred for upper endoscopy taking a proton pump inhibitor, antibiotics or bismuths [17]. There are many histochemical stains used for histological detection of *H. pylori* in gastric biopsies and resections, including hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Modified Giemsa, toluidine blue and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. H&E and Giemsa are routinely staining methods, which are used in pathological laboratories for detection of *H. pylori*. For years, different arguments have been developed about the need for and usefulness of IHC technique [20].

The present study was therefore aimed (i) to compare H&E, Giemsa and toluidine blue staining with immunohistochemistry for the detection of *H. pylori* in patients who are referred for upper endoscopy with or without medication history, and (ii) to compare the results of these staining methods in different groups of patients due to the degree of inflammation and activity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Population study.** During a six-month period from April 2011 to October 2011, 54 consecutive patients diagnosed as chronic gastritis were examined. The Institutional Ethics committee of Tehran University of Medical Science.

**Endoscopy and biopsy sample.** Endoscopy was performed on patients by an experienced gastroenterologist after an overnight fast. One biopsy sample was obtained from Antrum during endoscopy [21, 22].

**Histochemistry and immunohistochemistry.** Gastric mucosa biopsies were fixed in buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Four-micron-thick sections were cut and mounted on slides for histochemistry and IHC. Standard histological sections were stained with H&E [23], Modified Giemsa [24] and toluidine blue. For toluidine blue staining method, deparaffinized and rehydrated sections were rinsed in distilled water and immersed in buffered toluidine blue solution for 20 minutes. Slides were washed well in water, dehydrated, cleared and then mounted. The buffered toluidine blue solution was prepared by mixing 50 ml phosphate buffer with 1 ml 1% aqueous toluidine blue. In IHC staining, acetate buffer (pH 6.0) was used as the immersion solution for heat antigen pretreatment step. Rabbit polyclonal anti-*H. pylori* antibody (DAKO, Bo471) and the Envision (DAKO) polymer detection system were used using Diaminobenzidine as the chromogen. Positive control sample was prepared from known *H. pylori*-infected tissue biopsy and negative control samples were prepared by omitting the primary antibody [25]. IHC results were considered as the gold standard in this study [6].

**Detection of *H. pylori* and pathology.** Patients with positive result in immunohistochemistry were considered to be *H. pylori* positive. The density of *H. pylori*-stained organisms was graded by using the updated Sydney system visual analog scale for normal, mild and moderate and marked with a scoring system of 0 to 3, respectively [26]. Also inflammation and activity were graded in accordance with the updated Sydney system. The degree of the gastric mucosal inflammation was classified in four grades as follows: 0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe [26].

**Statistical analysis.** Sensitivity and specificity of each *H. pylori* diagnostic test as well as the positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were used to characterize each test versus consensus.

**RESULTS**

In this study, 30 male and 24 female patients were included. The mean age of the patients was 48 years with a range of 21-57 years. IHC stains showed that 43 cases were positive for *H. pylori* (Fig. 1). *H. pylori* was identified in 18 sections with H&E (Fig. 2A). Modified Giemsa permitted *H. pylori* identification in all cases of infection detected by the previous method and in a further six biopsies (44.4%) (Fig. 2B). In addition, nine more positive biopsies (61.1%) were disclosed by toluidine blue staining method (Fig. 2C). Detecting coccoform of *H. pylori* was easier in this staining method. Twelve positive biopsies, which were not found by Giemsa staining method, had coccoid form of organisms, which were easily identified by IHC (Fig. 3A). Six positive biopsies, which were not found by Giemsa staining method, had *H. pylori* organism closely opposed to the epithelial cell or inside the epithelial cells, which were strikingly obvious in immunostained biopsies (Fig. 3B). Others had very scant organism. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of H&E, Giemsa and toluidine blue staining methods have been shown in Table 1.
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Fig. 1. Immunohistological demonstration of *H. pylori*. (A) Clusters of immunolabeled organisms at the surface of pyloric mucosa of a patient infected with *Helicobacter pylori*, immunohistochemical staining for *H. pylori* (primary antibody: Bo471 DAKO, Denmark), hematoxylin counterstain, positive control reaction; (B) negative control reaction. Bar line = 10 µm

The presence of chronic gastritis was confirmed in all gastric biopsy specimens as follows: 16 cases with moderate chronic active gastritis, 5 cases with moderate chronic inactive gastritis, 9 cases with mild chronic active gastritis and 24 cases with mild chronic inactive gastritis. Table 2 shows test results compared to the standard test in different groups of patients. In patients with mild chronic inactive gastritis, IHC revealed *H. pylori* organisms in 15 cases, while H&E, modified Giemsa and toluidine blue found *H. pylori* in 1, 5 and 9 cases, respectively. The highest rate of false-negative results was observed in this group of patients, whereas the lowest was observed in patients with moderate chronic active gastritis. In this group of patients, H&E, modified Giemsa and toluidine blue could not find organism just in 3, 2 and 1 infected patients, respectively.

Degree of *H. pylori* colonization on immunostained resections was as follows: 22 cases with mild colonization, 14 cases with moderate colonization, and 7 cases with severe bacterial colonization. Table 3 shows classical staining results in three groups of patients due to the bacterial colonization assessed. Marked infections with numerous organisms were detected more easily in histochemical stained sections, whereas the highest negative results were obtained in patients with mild bacterial colonization.

**DISCUSSION**

Since the discovery of *H. pylori*, several diagnostic methods have been become available for determining the presence of *H. pylori* infection [19]. However, there is no established method to provide a definitive or standard diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection. Laboratories should choose a test or tests that are appropriate for their own conditions, patients' numbers and costs, and they have to prepare their own diagnostic method [5]. As Iran is a developing country with a high background rate of *H. pylori* infection [14], study on accuracy of these diagnostic methods is needed.

Several studies have demonstrated that IHC stains are the most sensitive histological method to detect *H. pylori* [6, 27, 28]. For example, Jonkers and colleagues [28] showed that a polyclonal IHC stain (DAKO, B471, Denmark) was highly specific and had a low interobserver variation when compared to a modified Giemsa and a Warthin-Starry stain. However, the necessity for IHC stains has been debated in recent years and it is institution and laboratory dependent [20]. In this study, we evaluate the value of IHC and toluidine blue for detection of *H. pylori* in comparison with Giemsa and H&E stains.

Fig. 2. Histochemical staining. Bacteria are visualized (A) in the lumen of antral gastric glands on H&E stain (arrows), (B) more easily in modified Giemsa stained section (arrows) and (C) in the lumen of antral gastric glands on toluidine blue stain (arrow). Bar line = 20 µm
Fig. 3. Comparative detection of *H. pylori* by immunohistochemical (IHC) and histochemical staining. (A) The obvious identification of cluster of modified coccoid forms of *Helicobacter pylori* by immunohistochemistry; (B) coccoid *H. pylori* on Giemsa stained section; (C) IHC stain for *H. pylori* shows a small area with organisms inside the epithelial cells. Inset shows individual *H. pylori* with characteristic elongated, slightly spiral S shaped; (D) Giemsa stained biopsy of the same sample which cannot show any *H. pylori* organism. Bar line = 10 µm

The value of H&E stain compared with other special stains in the identification of *H. pylori* has been discussed in other articles [28, 29]. Pity et al. [26] concluded that, H&E had no clinical value in detection of *H. pylori* in gastric biopsies. Their findings was in contrast with that of Wang et al. [30], which showed that routine H&E staining method was sufficient for identification of the organism. In our study, H&E had the sensitivity of 41.86%, specificity of 100% and PPV of 62.07%. Therefore, H&E stain did not possess the sensitivity needed for an adequate screening test.

Table 1. Statistical analysis according to standard test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H&amp;E</td>
<td>41.86</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>62.07</td>
<td>69.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Giemsa</td>
<td>53.49</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>69.70</td>
<td>66.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluidine blue</td>
<td>76.74</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>47.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin

The most striking finding of this study is the unexpectedly low reliability of Giemsa staining in detection of *H. pylori*. In a study in 2002 by Wabinga [21] including 48 patients and using IHC as a gold standard, the performance of Giemsa staining was evaluated. Giemsa showed sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 89% in the referred study. Also, in a study by Rotimi et al. [6], Giemsa showed a sensitivity of 87%, and their results were comparable to those of the study by Hartman and Owens [31]. In our study, this method exhibited a sensitivity of 53.74% and specificity of 95.24%. This finding may be related to differences among the studies with regard to the medication history of patients included. Contrary to the most previous studies [32, 33], we did not exclude patients with medication history, because in daily practice, histology is widely used in this group of patients who are referred for upper endoscopy.

Moreover, we found that toluidine blue sensitivity and specificity was 76.74% and 100%, respectively. In addition, the statistical analysis showed a PPV of 75% and NPV of 47.62% compared to IHC. Toluidine blue proved to be much more sensitive than H&E and modified Giemsa. Our laboratory has found that this method is cheap and easy to use and produces more reliable results than H&E/modified Giemsa methods.

Table 2. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* using H&E, Giemsa, toluidine blue and IHC staining methods in correlation with degree of inflammation and activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of inflammation (no. of cases)</th>
<th>H&amp;E +No. (%)</th>
<th>-No. (%)</th>
<th>Giemsa +No. (%)</th>
<th>-No. (%)</th>
<th>Toluidine blue +No. (%)</th>
<th>-No. (%)</th>
<th>IHC +No. (%)</th>
<th>-No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild chronic inactive gastritis (24)</td>
<td>1 (4.20)</td>
<td>23 (95.8)</td>
<td>5 (20.8)</td>
<td>19 (79.2)</td>
<td>9 (37.50)</td>
<td>15 (62.50)</td>
<td>15 (62.50)</td>
<td>9 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate chronic inactive gastritis (5)</td>
<td>2 (40.00)</td>
<td>3 (60.0)</td>
<td>3 (60.0)</td>
<td>2 (40.0)</td>
<td>3 (60.00)</td>
<td>2 (40.00)</td>
<td>4 (80.00)</td>
<td>1 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild chronic active gastritis (9)</td>
<td>2 (22.20)</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>6 (66.66)</td>
<td>3 (33.30)</td>
<td>8 (88.88)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate chronic active gastritis (16)</td>
<td>13 (81.25)</td>
<td>3 (18.75)</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>15 (93.75)</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>16 (100.00)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (54)</td>
<td>18 (33.30)</td>
<td>36 (66.7)</td>
<td>24 (44.4)</td>
<td>24 (44.4)</td>
<td>33 (61.11)</td>
<td>18 (33.30)</td>
<td>43 (79.63)</td>
<td>11 (20.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H&E, hematoxylin and eosin, IHC, immunohistochemical
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High frequency of false-negative result in traditional stained sections with mild chronic inactive gastritis suggested that the presence of this status may result in a pathologist’s failure to detect *H. pylori* in some cases. As a result, when mild chronic inactive gastritis is found, a careful search for organisms with an IHC is needed to diminish exposure to the side effect of false-negative result for patients. In addition, H&E did not find organism in 3 positive cases with moderate chronic active gastritis. This finding indicated that when no *H. pylori* can be found with histochemical stains, IHC should be applied to prevent false-negative results.

In conclusion, toluidine blue is very straightforward, inexpensive and it is more reliable than H&E/modified Giemsa in detection of *H. pylori*. The major disadvantage of this method is that there is little contrast between organisms and tissues.

Immunohistochemistry is a reliable technique for detection of *H. pylori*. Cocccoid forms of the organisms, which may not be amenable to other staining methods, were seen easily on immunostained sections. Also, *H. pylori* antigen in the lamina propria and beneath the surface epithelial is detectable by IHC, while it can be hardly detectable by histochemical stains. On the other hand, the method is fairly expensive, especially because a negative control needs to be used with every slide. Therefore, it is not clearly practical and economical to perform *H. pylori* immunohistochemistry on every gastric biopsy specimen. However, in cases with inactive gastritis or low degree of inflammation, and cases with chronic active gastritis with negative histological result, IHC stain should be superior to histochemical stains in detection of *H. pylori*.
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