

Comparison of Isoenzyme Pattern of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* (G1-G3) and *E. canadensis* (G6/G7) Protoscoleces

Majid Dousti^{1,2}, Seyed Mahmoud Sadjjadi², Rahmat Solgi³, Arghavan Vafafar², Yosef Sharifi², Amirhossein Radfar², Gholam Reza Hatam^{4*}

¹Students Research Committee, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;

²Department of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran; ³Department of Medical Microbiology, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran; ⁴Basic Sciences in Infectious Diseases Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

ABSTRACT

OPEN ACCESS

Received: 8 January 2023

Accepted: 13 April 2023

Published online: 17 April 2023

Background: Different genotypes of *Echinococcus granulosus s.l.* infect humans and ungulate animals, causing cystic echinococcosis. Simultaneous isoenzyme, as well as molecular characterizations of this parasite, has not yet been investigated in Iran. The present study aimed to evaluate the isoenzyme pattern of the *E. granulosus s.s.* and *E. canadensis* genotypes in Iran.

Methods: A total of 32 (8 humans and 24 animals) cystic echinococcosis cysts were isolated from Shiraz, Tehran, Ilam, and Birjand from May 2018 to December 2020. The DNAs were extracted and their genotypes were determined by molecular methods. Enzymes were extracted from the cysts and subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The activities of G6PD, MDH, ME, NH1, and ICD were examined in the cyst samples using isoenzyme method and compared it with the genotyping findings.

Results: DNA sequence analysis of the samples showed that the specimens contained 75% *E. granulosus s.s.* (G1) and 25% *E. canadensis* (G6) genotypes. The isoenzyme pattern of ICD in both genotypes produced a six-band pattern with different relative factors. The G6PD also produced two bands with different relative migrations in both genotypes. The MDH and NH1 systems revealed a two-band pattern, while only one band was generated in the ME enzyme in the *E. granulosus s.s.* genotype. In the *E. canadensis*, the MDH and NH1 enzymes showed one band, and the ME enzyme represented a two-band pattern.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that *E. granulosus s.s.* and *E. canadensis* genotypes have entirely different isoenzyme patterns for NH1, G6PD, MDH, and ME. **DOI: 10.61186/ibj.3815**

Keywords: Echinococcus granulosus, Genotype, Isoenzymes

Citation:

Dousti M, Sadjjadi SM, Solgi R, Vafafar A, Sharifi Y, Radfar A, Hatam GR. Comparison of Isoenzyme Pattern of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* (G1-G3) and *E. canadensis* (G6/G7) Protoscoleces. *Iranian biomedical journal* 2023; 27(2&3): 136-145.

Corresponding Authors: Gholam Reza Hatam

Department of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz 71357-15794, Iran; Mobile.: (+98-917) 3117983; E-mail: hatamgr@sums.ac.ir

List of Abbreviations:

CE: cystic echinococcosis; **cox1:** oxidase subunit I; **CSC:** cancer stem-like cells; **DMEM/F12:** Dulbecco modified Eagle medium/F12; ***E. granulosus*:** *Echinococcus granulosus*; ***Echinococcus granulosus s.l.*:** *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato*; ***Echinococcus granulosus s.s.*:** *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto*; **FBS:** fetal bovine serum; **G6PD:** glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase; **GC:** gastric cancer; **GCSC:** gastric cancer stem-like cells; **ICD:** isocitrate dehydrogenase; **MDH:** malate dehydrogenase; **ME:** malic enzyme; **miRNA:** micro RNAs; **NH1:** nucleoside hydrolyse 1; **RF:** relative factor; **WHO:** World Health Organization

INTRODUCTION

Cystic echinococcosis is a cosmopolitan parasitic zoonosis caused by *E. granulosus* s.l.^[1]. Globally, CE is ranked second on the list of food-borne parasitic diseases and also among the 17 most neglected tropical diseases prioritized by the WHO^[2]. According to WHO report^[2], more than one million people are affected by echinococcosis at any given time^[3].

The definitive host of *E. granulosus* is domestic dogs, other canids, or even lion. A wide range of ungulates, including sheep, goat, cattle, and camel, plays as intermediate host. Humans acts as aberrant, dead-end intermediate hosts^[3]. Contaminated food and drinking water or exposure to the contaminated environment are likely the sources of infection for humans^[3]. CE is widespread and common in different regions of the world, especially the Middle East, India, South America, and Australia^[4]. It is very important to study different aspects of the disease since Iran is one of the endemic regions, with ~1% of its total surgeries related to this disease^[5].

There is much heterogeneity in *E. granulosus* s.l. due to the presence of intraspecific variants or strains^[6,7]. Hence, further identification of *E. granulosus* genotypes and understanding the molecular and biochemical differences is of great importance in designing diagnostic, therapeutic, prevention, and control strategies^[8]. In view of phenotypic and molecular studies, *E. granulosus* s.l. is divided into *E. granulosus* s.s. (including the identified genotypic variants G1-G3), *E. equinus* (the 'horse strain', genotype G4), *E. ortleppi* (the 'cattle strain', genotype G5), *E. canadensis* (G7/G7), and *E. felidis* (the former 'lion strain')^[9].

Considerable molecular studies on CE have been carried out in Iran during recent years^[6,10-15]. Based on the previous studies, *E. granulosus* s.s. and *E. canadensis* have been considered prevalent genotypes in different parts of Iran^[6,12,16,17]. Moreover, a number of protein studies related to *E. granulosus* have been conducted in recent years in Iran^[15,18-21]. The present study aimed to investigate the isoenzyme pattern of the larval stage of *E. granulosus* s.s. and *E. canadensis* genotypes and enzyme pattern changes within each one of these genotypes and between these two genotypes in Iran. As there is no phenotypic study on the isoenzyme pattern of the *E. granulosus* parasite in Iran, the current research work is the first in this field.

Sample collection

CE cysts were isolated from the Central, Western, Eastern, and Southern parts of Iran. Animal specimens

(sheep, cattle, and camels) were collected from Shiraz, Ilam and Birjand industrial slaughterhouses during May 2018 through December 2020. Human CE cyst were isolated from different hospitals in Shiraz and Tehran and transferred to the Parasitology Laboratory at the Medical School, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. Following the centrifugation of all samples, a total of 30-100 µl of deposited protoscoleces were removed and washed three times with PBS. The obtained sediments were finally kept at -21 °C for subsequent experiments.

DNA extraction

DNAs were extracted from all collected samples using a DNA extraction kit (Bioneer Company, South Korea) following the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR method

To synthesize fragments selected from the mitochondrial genome of the parasite, JB3 (5'-TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT-3') and JB4.5 (5'-TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAATG-3') primers were used for the *cox1* coding gene^[22]. The total volume of 25 µl was selected for PCR reaction (one unit of Taq DNA polymerase, 2 µl of MgCl₂, 0.5 µl for each forward and reverse primers, 1 µl of DNA, 2.5 µl of buffer 10×, 2 µl of dNTP, and 16.5 µl of distilled water (Table 1). After preparing the PCR mixture, the thermocycler program was set to 35 cycles. The amplified fragments were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel and then visualized by DNA-safe stain (Cinaclone, Iran).

DNA sequencing method

All sequences were compared pairwise with each other, and the sequences were deposited in the GenBank using the program nucleotide BLAST of the NCBI (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>). Equal length for each sequence was trimmed with Bioedit software v.7.2 (<https://bioedit.software.informer.com/7.2/>), and global sequence alignments were performed using the ClustalW algorithm. A phylogenetic analysis was carried out on the sequence data obtained in the

Table 1. PCR conditions used in the present study

Test stage	Temperature (°C)	No. of cycles	Time
Primary denaturation	95	1	5 min
Denaturation	94	35	45 s
Annealing	51		35 s
Extension	72		45s
Final extension	72	1	10 min

Table 2. Cocktail staining for visualizing each enzyme in polyacrylamide gel

Enzyme	Buffer pH	Substrate	Coenzyme
MDH	8.0	Malic acid	NAD
G6PD	7.4	G6P	NADP
ICD	7.0	Isocitrate	NADP
NH1	7.4	Inosine	Not needed
ME	7.4	Malic acid	NADP

Tris-HCl (0.3 mol) was the buffer used in this study.

present study, and the data were compared with other species/genotypes of *Echinococcus*. The best-fit nucleotide substitution model and phylogenetic tree were generated using Mega 6 software (<https://www.megasoftware.net>).

Enzyme extraction

The method of comparing isozymes was performed based on approaches used previously in 2020^[23,24]. The sediments of samples were thoroughly mixed with equal volumes of enzyme stabilizers (2 mM of dithiothreitol, aminocaproic acid, and EDTA solution). The samples were frozen and thawed at -196 °C and 25 °C, respectively for 10 times and then transferred to 0.5-ml tubes. The samples were then centrifuged at 15,000 ×g at 4 °C for 80 min, and the supernatant solution containing water-soluble proteins was kept at -70 °C until use.

Isoenzyme electrophoresis

The discontinuous polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis method was applied to perform the isoenzyme electrophoresis by vertical electrophoresis^[25]. Electrophoresis was performed using the stacking gel

of 4% and separating gel of 8%. In the present study, we assessed the activities of five enzymatic systems, including G6PD, ME, ICD, MDH, and NH1. The RF or relative migration distance, the number, and frequency of isoenzyme banding patterns or zymodeme of each genotype were determined and compared with each other. To determine the RF value of each band, we divided the distance traveled by the isoenzyme band from the origin by the length of the gel. Cocktail staining for visualizing each enzyme in polyacrylamide gel is shown in Table 2.

RESULTS

A total of 32 CE cyst isolates were isolated from 8 humans and 24 animals (sheep, cattle, and camels). The collected samples were characterized by PCR and then sequencing as *E. granulosus* s.s. (24 isolates) and *E. canadensis* (8 isolates) genotypes (Table 3).

Results of PCR for *cox1* primers

Agarose gel electrophoresis for *cox1* primers is depicted in Figure 1.

Results of DNA sequencer technique and phylogenetic tree analysis

Samples were sent to Takapouzist Company for identifying the DNA sequence. The results showed that *E. granulosus* s.s. (G1) was present in 75% of the samples, and 25% were *E. canadensis* (G6). The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the evolutionary distances computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (UPGMA tree) in the MEGA 6.0 version (Fig. 2 and Table 4).

Table 3. Different *E. granulosus* genotypes based on location, tissue, and type of host

Variable	Frequency	<i>E. granulosus</i> s.s. (G1-G3) genotype	<i>E. canadensis</i> (G6/G7) genotype
Location of host			
Shiraz	16	16	-
Tehran	4	4	-
Ilam	4	4	-
Birjand	8	-	8
Tissue of host			
Liver	22	16	6
Lung	10	8	2
Type of host			
Human	8	8	-
Sheep	8	8	-
Cattle	8	8	-
Camel	8	-	8
Total	32	24	8




Fig. 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis showing the PCR product analysis of *cox1* gene in *E. granulosus* s.s. M, marker (100 bp); lanes 1-13, positive *cox1* gene at the 450-bp PCR product; lane 14, negative control.

Isoenzyme patterns of G6PD, ME, MDH, NH1, and ICD

The isoenzyme patterns of G6PD, ME, MDH, and NH1 revealed two zymodemes. Zymodeme 1 was observed in 24 isolates, all of which were *E. granulosus* s.s. genotype, and zymodeme 2 was detected in 8 isolates that were *E. canadensis* genotype (Fig. 3). The ICD isoenzyme pattern also demonstrated two zymodemes, zymodeme 1 in 26 isolates (81.25%) and zymodeme 2 in 6 (18.75%) isolates that were *E. granulosus* s.s. and *E. canadensis* genotypes respectively (Fig. 3E). The number of bands and RF in each enzymatic system is shown in Table 5.

DISCUSSION

The present study investigated the isoenzyme pattern and molecular characterization of the *E. granulosus* s.l. larval stage in different parts of Iran.

The result showed that *E. granulosus* s.s. and *E. canadensis* genotypes had entirely different isoenzyme patterns in four enzyme systems (NH1, G6PD, MDH, and ME) used in this study. However, in the ICD enzyme system, it was impossible to differentiate between *E. granulosus* s.s. from *E. canadensis* strains. In the *E. granulosus* s.s. strain, MDH and NH1 revealed a two-band pattern, whereas the ME enzyme had a single main band. This trend for *E. canadensis* strain was reverse. Both genotypes studied in ICD and G6PD enzyme systems had the same number of bands with different RF values. The provided isoenzyme data represent a high degree of homogeneity within the studied strains (*E. granulosus*

s.s. and *E. canadensis* strains). In this research, the isolates of each species showed similar iso-enzymatic patterns, and the studied enzymatic systems clearly showed the difference between the two species. Our results highlight the importance of using several different criteria when attempting to fully characterize an intra-strain differentiation of *E. granulosus* in any geographical locality and from a particular intermediate (or definitive) host. These criteria include ecological, immunological, morphological, biochemical, isoenzymatic, and in vitro developmental studies^[26]. The study performed by Haag et al.^[27], revealing *E. granulosus* intra-strain polymorphisms, reported limited or no variation within the four studied isolates; this finding is consistent with the isoenzyme pattern reported by other researchers^[25,27].

The results obtained in the current study regarding the ability of the zymodeme technique to differentiate between *E. granulosus* genotypes are in line with those reported by other studies in different geographical areas^[26,28,29]. Turčėková et al.^[30] proved the suitability of GPI and MDH enzymes for discriminating G7 and G1 of *E. granulosus* strains. Hosseini et al.^[31] investigated the isozyme pattern of G6PD and ICD in *E. granulosus* s.s. native to Iran and detected two different strains, sheep-dog (genotype G1) and camel-dog (genotype G6) of this parasite^[31]. Siles-Lucas and Cuesta-Bandera^[32] showed that the SDS-PAGE technique for differentiating between the various *E. granulosus* strains was inappropriate. However, we found out that zymogram analysis of *E. granulosus* extracts using discontinuous SDS method was suitable to identify different *E. granulosus* strains.




Fig 2. Molecular phylogenetic tree of mitochondrial *cox1* region of *E. granulosus* s.s. and *E. canadensis* (G6/G7) genotypes. 1000 bootstrap replicates.

We are actually examining a parasite's phenotypic characteristics when we compare the isoenzymes of various parasite genotypes, and because these phenotypic characteristics accurately depict the genotype, the results can be taken to be in agreement with one another. In a previous electrophoretic study, Le Riche and Sewell^[33] suggested that GPI isoenzyme profiles of *E. granulosus* belonging to UK sheep and cattle are very similar. However, these patterns are different from those produced by hydatid material obtained from two infected Nigerian camels. Isoenzyme technique as a relatively inexpensive method is still a marker with perfectly-known Mendelian inheritance, which permits multilocus analysis and is applied for most living organisms. Population genetics and phylogenetic research benefit from the use of two different types of genetic markers since they can examine various regions of the genome and have different evolutionary patterns^[34]. In addition to molecular and pharmacological approaches, proteomic approaches play a significant role in identifying several excretory-secretory proteins. The ability to fully comprehend the connection between *Echinococcus* and its host and the mechanisms mediated by them is made possible by the identification of the proteins present in hydatid fluid. Many of these proteins may only or mostly be expressed by specific isolates and species; therefore, proteomic information is also very useful in interpreting the results of molecular and biochemical investigations^[35].

The method of determining isoenzyme characteristics in many microorganisms, such as *Leishmania* parasite species, has been proposed as a gold standard and as a repeatable and very accurate phenotypic method for identifying other infectious disease agents, which is of interest to researchers and scientists. Despite the emergence of new techniques, such as proteomics and genome-based methods, the mentioned phenotypic method still maintains its value. However, our study has some limitations, including the small number of enzyme systems examined and the lack of *E. granulosus* larval stage isolates from other intermediate hosts, such as goat, in Iran.

The findings of the present study show that the *E. granulosus* s.s. and the *E. canadensis* genotypes have entirely different isoenzyme patterns in the enzymes of NH1, G6PD, MDH, and ME. The importance of isoenzyme method increases when the difference between two genotypes is clearly shown by using a limited number of enzyme systems. Such precision in distinguishing two different organisms that are macroscopically similar has a great advantage in biology.

Table 4. Information about sequences used for phylogenetic analysis

Accession number	<i>E. granulosus</i> genotype	Reference	Country	Accession number	<i>E. granulosus</i> genotype	Reference	Country
OP185147	G1	This study	Iran	KM513632	G3	[36]	Iran
OP185208	G1	This study	Iran	DQ131582	G2	[37]	Portugal
OP185209	G1	This study	Iran				
OP189676	G1	This study	Iran	KT988115	G5	[38]	Iran
OP185211	G1	This study	Iran	KJ559023	G3	[39]	China
OP243212	G1	This study	Iran	OP185233	G6	This study	Iran
OP185218	G1	This study	Iran	OP242182	G6	This study	Iran
OP185224	G1	This study	Iran	KT988117	G6	[38]	Iran
OP243232	G1	This study	Iran	M84666	G6	[42]	Australia
OP185234	G1	This study	Iran	KT988116	G6	[38]	Iran
OP185235	G1	This study	Iran	M84667	G6	[40]	Australia
OP185236	G1	This study	Iran	OP242188	G6	This study	Iran
OP185237	G1	This study	Iran	OP242189	G6	This study	Iran
OP185238	G1	This study	Iran	OP242190	G6	This study	Iran
OP185239	G1	This study	Iran	OP242199	G6	This study	Iran
OP185240	G1	This study	Iran	OP185224	G6	This study	Iran
OP243281	G1	This study	Iran	OP185233	G6	This study	Iran
KU756223	G1	[38]	Iran	M84664	G4	[40]	Australia
KU756225	G1	[38]	Iran	KF731903	G1	[41]	Iran
KU756224	G1	[38]	Iran	KF443143	G1	[42]	Iran
KM513626	G1	[36]	Iran	KT988113	G1	[38]	Iran
KM100575	G1	[43]	Turkey	M84668	<i>E. multilocularis</i>	[40]	Australia
Ab107243	Outgroup	[45]	Nepal	MW736596.1	G7	[44]	Peru




Fig. 3. (A) Zymodeme of G6PD, ME, MDH, NH1, and ICD obtained from *E. granulosus* s.s. and *E. canadensis* genotypes; (B) the isoenzyme pattern illustration of G6PD, ME, MDH, NH1, and ICD in the present study. Dark bands shown in the first Figure (G6PD) are our zymodeme samples. Zn, zymodeme number; Zf, zymodeme frequency

[Downloaded from ibj.pasteur.ac.ir on 2024-05-02]
[DOR: 20.1001.1.1028852.2023.27.2.7.7]
[DOI: 10.61186/ibj.3815]

Table 5. General information of two different zymodemes in the present study

Enzyme system	Zymodem 1 (<i>E. granulosus</i> s.s. genotype)				Zymodem 2 (<i>E. canadensis</i> genotype)			
	No. of isolates	Accession no.	No. of bands	RF	No. of isolates	Accession No.	No. of bands	RF
MDH	6	OP185238	1	0.60	6	OP185233	2	0.51, 0.68
		OP185239				OP242188		
		OP185240				OP242189		
		OP243281				OP242190		
		OP185235				OP242199		
		OP185236				OP185224		
G6PD	6	OP185147	2	0.6, 0.62	6	OP185233	2	0.15, 0.2
		OP185208				OP242188		
		OP185209				OP242189		
		OP189676				OP242190		
		OP185211				OP242199		
		OP243212				OP185224		
ICD	6	OP243212	6	0.58, 0.54, 0.43, 0.34, 0.22, 0.17	6	OP185233	6	0.65, 0.56, 0.52, 0.35, 0.31, 0.26
		OP185218				OP242188		
		OP185224				OP242189		
		OP243232				OP242190		
		OP185234				OP242199		
		OP185237				OP185224		
NH1	6	OP185237	2	0.77, 0.69	6	OP185233	1	0.73
		OP185238				OP242188		
		OP185239				OP242189		
		OP185209				OP242190		
		OP189676				OP242199		
		OP185211				OP185224		
ME	6	OP185239	2	0.17, 0.31	6	OP185233	1	0.26
		OP185211				OP242188		
		OP243281				OP242189		
		OP185218				OP242190		
		OP243232				OP242199		
		OP185235				OP185224		

DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgments

This article has been derived from a Ph.D. thesis (number: 20037) at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. We would like to thank the cooperation of all the research centers and units.

Ethical statement

The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran (ethical code: IR.SUMS.REC. 1399.378).

Data availability

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author contributions

MD: formal analysis and investigation, methodology, and writing original draft preparation; SMS: conceptualization and Writing-review and editing; RS: formal analysis and investigation; AV: methodology; AR: methodology; GRH: conceptualization, writing-review and editing, funding acquisition, resources, and supervision.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Funding/support

No fund received.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez Rojas CA, Kronenberg PA, Aitbaev S, Omorov RA, Abdykerimov KK, Paternoster G, Müllhaupt B, Torgerson P, Deplazes P. Genetic diversity of *Echinococcus multilocularis* and *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato in Kyrgyzstan: The A2 haplotype of *E. multilocularis* is the predominant variant infecting humans. *PLOS neglected tropical diseases* 2020; **14**(5): e0008242.
- Aziz HM, Hama AA, Salih MA, Ditta A. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato eggs among stray dogs in Sulaimani Province—Kurdistan, Iraq. *Veterinary sciences* 2022; **9**(4): 151.
- Torgerson PR, Robertson LJ, Enemark HL, Foehr J, van der Giessen JWB, Kapel CMO, Klun I, Trevisan C. Source attribution of human echinococcosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS neglected tropical diseases* 2020; **14**(6): e0008382.
- Gessese AT. Review on epidemiology and public health significance of hydatidosis. *Veterinary medicine international* 2020; **2020**: 8859116.
- Rokni M.B. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in Iran. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2009; **4**: 1-6.
- Sharbatkhori M, Mirhendi H, Harandi MF, Rezaeian M, Mohebal M, Eshraghian M, Rahimi H, Kia EB. *Echinococcus granulosus* genotypes in livestock of Iran indicating high frequency of G1 genotype in camels. *Experimental parasitology* 2010; **124**(4): 373-379.
- Vuitton DA, McManus DP, Rogan MT, Romig T, Gottstein B, Naidich A, Tuxun T, Wen H, Menezes da Silva A. World Association of Echinococcosis. International consensus on terminology to be used in the field of *echinococcoses*. *Parasite* 2020; **27**: 41.
- Mehmood N, Muqaddas H, Arshad M, Ullah MI, Khan ZI. Comprehensive study based on mtDNA signature (nad1) providing insights on *Echinococcus granulosus* s.s. genotypes from Pakistan and potential role of buffalo-dog cycle. *Infection, Genetics and evolution* 2020; **81**: 104271.
- Romig T, Ebi D, Wassermann M. Taxonomy and molecular epidemiology of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato. *Veterinary parasitology* 2015; **213**(3-4): 76-84.
- Sadjjadi SM, Mikaeili F, Karamian M, Maraghi S, Sadjjadi FS, Shariat-Torbaghan S, Kia EB. Evidence that the *Echinococcus granulosus* G6 genotype has an affinity for the brain in humans. *International journal for parasitology* 2013; **43**(11): 875-877.
- Dousti M, Abdi J, Bakhtiyari S, Mohebal M, Mirhendi Sh, Rokni M. Genotyping of hydatid cyst isolated from human and domestic animals in Ilam Province, Western Iran using PCR-RFLP. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2013; **8**(1): 47-52.
- Spotin A, Mahami-Oskoue M, Harandi MF, Baratchian M, Bordbar A, Ahmadpour E, Ebrahimi S. Genetic variability of *Echinococcus granulosus* complex in various geographical populations of Iran inferred by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Acta tropica* 2017; **165**: 10-16.
- Ghatee MA, Nikaein K, Taylor WR, Karamian M, Alidadi H, Kanannejad Z, Sehatpour F, Zarei F, Pouladfar G. Environmental, climatic and host population risk factors of human cystic echinococcosis in southwest of Iran. *BMC public health* 2020; **20**(1): 1611.
- Kargar S, Dalimi A, Eslami G, Hajimohammadi B, Shirinzadeh A, Amouei A, Zare M, Jafari-Nedooshan J, Heiranizadeh N, Akhavan Tafti M, Ahmadian S, Hosseini SS, Askari V, Sheykhzadegan M, Ghoshouni H, Ranjbar MJ, Boozhmehrani MJ, Vakili M. Cystic Echinococcosis in Central Iran: G1 and G6 genotypes in patients. *Surgical infections (Larchmt)* 2022; **23**(5): 451-457.
- Darabi E, Motevaseli E, Mohebal M, Rokni MB, Khorramizadeh MR, Zahabiun F, Heidari S, Kia EB. Evaluation of a novel *Echinococcus granulosus* recombinant fusion B-EpC1 antigen for the diagnosis of human cystic echinococcosis using indirect ELISA in comparison with a commercial diagnostic ELISA kit. *Experimental parasitology* 2022; **240**: 108339.
- Nikmanesh B, Mirhendi H, Ghalavand Z, Alebouyeh M, Sharbatkhori M, Kia E, Mohebal M, Eghbali M, Rokni MB. Genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* isolates from human clinical samples based on sequencing of mitochondrial genes in Iran, Tehran. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2014; **9**(1): 20-27.
- Mardani P, Ezabadi AT, Sedaghat B, Sadjjadi SM. Pulmonary hydatidosis genotypes isolates from human clinical surgery based on sequencing of mitochondrial genes in Fars, Iran. *Journal of cardiothoracic surgery* 2021; **16**(1): 167.
- Khalilpour A, Sadjjadi SM, Moghadam ZK, Yunus MH, Zakaria ND, Osman S, Noordin R. Lateral flow test using *Echinococcus granulosus* native antigen B and comparison of IgG and IgG4 dipsticks for detection of human cystic echinococcosis. *The American journal of tropical of medicine and hygiene* 2014; **91**(5): 994-999.
- Amni F, Hajizadeh M, Elmi T, Hatam Nahavandi K, Shafaei S, Javadi Mamaghani A, Rafiei Sefiddashti R. Different manifestation of *Echinococcus granulosus* immunogenic antigens in the liver and lungs of intermediate host. *Comparative immunology, microbiology infectious diseases* 2021; **74**: 101573.
- Sadjjadi FS, Hajjaran H, Sedaghat B, Mardani P, Sadjjadi SM. Proteomics investigation of human sera for determination of postoperative indicators of pulmonary cystic echinococcosis. *Journal of cardiothoracic surgery* 2023; **18**(1): 18.
- Sedaghat B, Hajjaran H, Sadjjadi FS, Heidari S, Sadjjadi SM. Proteomic characterization of hydatid cyst fluid: two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) setup through optimizing protein extraction. *BMC research notes* 2021; **14**(1): 22.
- Sadjjadi SM, Ebrahimipour M, Sadjjadi FS. Comparison between *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu stricto (G1) and *E. canadensis* (G6) mitochondrial genes (cox1 and nad1) and their related protein models using experimental and bioinformatics analysis.

- Computational biology and chemistry* 2019; **79**: 103-109.
23. Hatam GR, Bahrami S, Razavi SM, Oryan A. Isoenzyme and ultrastructural characterization of *Leishmania tropica* axenic amastigotes and promastigotes. *Parasitology research* 2013; **112**(2): 643-648.
 24. Rayani M, Unyah NZ, Vafafar A, Hatam GR. Isoenzyme profiles and phylogenetic analysis of *Giardia duodenalis* isolates from Iranian patients. *Environmental science and pollution research international* 2020; **27**(32): 40652-40663.
 25. Hatam GR, Adnani SJ, Asgari Q, Fallah E, Motazedian MH, Sadjjadi SM, Sarkari B. First report of natural infection in cats with *Leishmania infantum* in Iran. *Vector-borne and zoonotic diseases* 2010; **10**(3): 313-316.
 26. Sadjjadi SF, Motamedi M, Mohammadzadeh T, Sadjjadi SM. Comparative morphologic and morphometric study on the developmental aspects of in vitro and in vivo reared *Echinococcus granulosus* Sensu Stricto using Differential interference contrast (DIC)/nomarski and phase contrast microscopy. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2019; **14**(4): 510-520.
 27. Haag KL, Araújo AM, Gottstein B, Siles-Lucas M, Thompson RC, Zaha A. Breeding systems in *Echinococcus granulosus* (Cestoda; Taeniidae): selfing or outcrossing? *Parasitology* 1999; **118**(1): 63-71.
 28. Lymbery AJ, Thompson RC. Electrophoretic analysis of genetic variation in *Echinococcus granulosus* from domestic hosts in Australia. *International journal for parasitology* 1988; **18**(6): 803-811.
 29. McManus DP, Smyth JD. Isoelectric focusing of some enzymes from *Echinococcus granulosus* (horse and sheep strains) and *E. multilocularis*. *Transactions of the royal society of tropical medicine and hygiene* 1979; **73**(3): 259-265.
 30. Turčková LU, Šnábel V, D'Amelio S, Busi M, Dubinský P. Morphological and genetic characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in the Slovak Republic. *Acta tropica* 2003; **85**(2): 223-229.
 31. Hossein Hosseini S, Pourkabir M, Asadi F, Lee K, Razijalali H. The isoenzymatic pattern of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and isocitrate dehydrogenase in Iranian *Echinococcus granulosus*. *Veterinarski arhiv* 2006; **76**(1): 45-52.
 32. Siles-Lucas M, Cuesta-Bandera C. *Echinococcus granulosus* in Spain: strain differentiation by SDS-PAGE of somatic and excretory/secretory proteins. *Journal of helminthology* 1996; **70**(3): 253-257.
 33. Le Riche PD, Sewell MM. Identification of *Echinococcus granulosus* strains by enzyme electrophoresis. *Research in veterinary science* 1978; **25**(2): 247-248.
 34. Tibayrenc M. Multilocus enzyme electrophoresis for parasites and other pathogens. *Methods in molecular biology* 2009; **551**: 13-25.
 35. Santos GB, Monteiro KM, da Silva ED, Battistella ME, Ferreira HB, Zaha A. Excretory/secretory products in the *Echinococcus granulosus* metacestode: is the intermediate host complacent with infection caused by the larval form of the parasite? *International journal for parasitology* 2016; **46**(13-14): 843-856.
 36. Sharbatkhori M, Tanzifi A, Rostami S, Rostami M, Harandi MF. *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato genotypes in domestic livestock and humans in Golestan province, Iran. *Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo* 2016; **58**. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-9946201658038>.
 37. Beato S, Parreira R, Calado M, Grácio MAA. Apparent dominance of the G1-G3 genetic cluster of *Echinococcus granulosus* strains in the central inland region of Portugal. *Parasitology international* 2010; **59**(4): 638-642.
 38. Ebrahimipour M, Sadjjadi SM, Darani HY, Najjari M. Molecular studies on cystic echinococcosis of camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) and report of *Echinococcus ortleppi* in Iran. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2017; **12**(3): 323.
 39. Wang N, Xie Y, Liu T, Zhong X, Wang J, Hu D, Wang S, Gu X, Peng X, Yang G. The complete mitochondrial genome of G3 genotype of *Echinococcus granulosus* (Cestoda: Taeniidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 2016; **27**(3): 1701-1702.
 40. Bowles J, Blair D, McManus DP. Genetic variants within the genus *Echinococcus* identified by mitochondrial DNA sequencing. *Molecular and biochemical parasitology* 1992; **54**(2): 165-173.
 41. Nikmanesh B, Mirhendi H, Ghalavand Z, Alebouyeh M, Sharbatkhori M, Kia E, Mohebbi M, Eghbali M, Rokni MB. Genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* isolates from human clinical samples based on sequencing of mitochondrial genes in Iran, Tehran. *Iranian journal of parasitology* 2014; **9**(1): 20-27.
 42. Rostami S, Torbaghan SS, Dabiri S, Babaei Z, Mohammadi MA, Sharbatkhori M, et al. Genetic characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* from a large number of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples of human isolates in Iran. *American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2015; **92**(3): 588-594.
 43. Düzü Ö, Yildirim A, Sariözkan S, İnci A. Kayseri yöresinde üç farklı mezbahada kesilen koyun ve sığırlarda kistik echinococcosis' in ekonomik önemi. Erciyes Üniversitesi Veteriner fakültesi dergisi 2010; **7**(1): 7-11.
 44. Sanchez L, Mayta H, Jara LM, Verástegui M, Gilman RH, Gómez-Puerta LA, et al. *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu stricto and *E. canadensis* are distributed in livestock of highly endemic area in the Peruvian highlands. *Acta tropica* 2022; **225**: 106178.
 45. Yamasaki H, Allan JC, Sato MO, Nakao M, Sako Y, Nakaya K, et al. DNA differential diagnosis of taeniasis and cysticercosis by multiplex PCR. *Journal of clinical microbiology* 2004; **42**(2): 548-553.