

Molecularly Imprinted Polymer (MIP) Applications in Natural Product Studies Based on Medicinal Plant and Secondary Metabolite Analysis

Zahra Karimi Baker^{1,2} and Soroush Sardari^{2*}

¹Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran;

²Drug Design and Bioinformatics Unit, Medical Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran

Received 20 September 2020; accepted 25 November 2020; published online 10 January 2021

ABSTRACT

Characterization and extraction of plant secondary metabolites are important in agriculture, pharmaceutical, and food industry. In this regard, the applied analytical methods are mostly costly and time-consuming; therefore, choosing a suitable approach is essential for optimum results and economic suitability. One of the recently considered methods used to characterize new types of materials is MIPs. Among the various applications of MIPs is the identification and separation of various plant-derived compounds, such as secondary metabolites, chemical residues, and pesticides. The present review describes the application of MIPs as a tool in medicinal plant material analysis, focusing on plant secondary metabolism. **DOI: 10.29252/ibj.25.2.68**

Keywords: Molecularly imprinted polymers, Plants medicinal, Secondary metabolism

Corresponding Author: Soroush Sardari

Drug Design and Bioinformatics Unit, Medical Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran; E-mail: ssardari@hotmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Plants have had a wide range of applications over the centuries worldwide^[1]. People rely on plants to provide their fundamental needs such as shelter, clothing, food, and health care. These needs would enhance quickly because of urbanization, raising income, and rapidly increasing world population. In addition, plants supply raw materials for many types of pharmaceuticals, as well as for alcohol, tobacco, honey, and coffee.

In developing countries, more than half of the population benefit from the traditional animal- and plant-based medicine for their primary health care. The demand and popularity of herbal medicines are growing day-by-day. The side effects of modern drugs are the most important factor in the development of research in herbal medicines^[2]. Studies on traditional

medicines have been a basis for the production of most early drugs, such as quinine, digitoxin, pilocarpine, aspirin, and morphine^[3,4].

NPs have been shown to play a significant role in drug production. They are known as one of the most valuable resources of drugs due to their biological activity and structural diversity. From 1981 to 2014 more than 50% of drugs were made from NPs, NP-derived mimetics, and semi-synthetic NPs, and between 1994 and 2014, more than a third of US FDA-approved drugs were developed or inspired by NPs^[5]. NP-derived drugs were among the popular 35 bestselling drugs in the world in 2000, 2001, and 2002, meaning that the percentage of NP-derived drugs in these three years was 40%, 24% and 26%, respectively^[6].

For the best application of plant products, they must be either extracted and purified or standardized based

List of Abbreviations:

CA, caffeic acid; **FA**, ferulic acid; **MIP**, molecularly imprinted polymer; **MISPE**, molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction; **MIP**, magnetic molecularly imprinted polymer; **NIP**, non-imprinted polymers; **NP**, natural product; **PA**, protocatechuic acid; **TCM**, traditional Chinese medicine

on a lead chemical among the main bioactive ingredients contained in the plant drug. Since plant extracts contain a complex of various types of bioactive compounds or phytochemicals with different polarities, identifying and separating these compounds are major challenges^[7]. Chromatographic and spectroscopic methods are generally applied to characterize plant metabolites. Chromatography is a commonly used technique to isolate biologically active compounds. Among such techniques are high performance liquid chromatography, thin layer chromatography, size-exclusion chromatography, flash chromatography, and column chromatography. In addition, non-chromatographic methods such as immunoassay, which uses monoclonal antibodies, and phytochemical screening assays can be utilized to identify bioactive compounds.

MIP is a designed material that can be explained in analogy with the "lock and key model" depicted by Emil Fischer over a century ago (reviewed in^[8]). The produced MIPs have many cavities complementary to their template molecules in shape, size, and chemical functionality, making them have a unique and predetermined selectivity towards those target molecules^[9]. Molecular imprinting technique is used to prepare specific polymers for predetermined analyses^[10]. In general, polymerization in the presence of a target molecule (template) with functional and crosslinking monomers causes the formation of MIPs. By removing the template from such a polymer, template-like cavity locations are formed and enable the polymer to identify the template and any template-like molecule in solution (Fig. 1). MIPs can be formed

in two ways, covalent and noncovalent. In the earlier method, MIP is formed by the covalent bonds between the template and polymerizable monomers. The noncovalent approach is the formation of noncovalent bonds such as ionic interactions or hydrogen bonding between the template and the monomers.

Research in the field of MIPs has received a lot of attention, as shown in the literature (Fig. 2) due to their advantages such as simple and convenient preparation, predetermined selectivity, robustness in organic solvents, acidic or basic reagents, and durability to high temperature^[10-14]. MIPs have been exploited in cases such as the detection and isolation of specific molecules^[15,16], sensors^[17], immunoassay^[18-20], and catalysis as artificial enzymes^[21]. MIPs have also been used as solid-phase extraction sorbents for the clean-up and pre-concentration of samples before determining drugs in complex biological fluids^[22-28], nicotine in chewing gum and tobacco^[29,30], and triazine herbicides in beef liver^[31], and water^[32,33]. MIPs have been indicated to detect phenobarbital in the blood plasma^[34] and a variety of fungicides and pesticides such as carbendazim^[35], imidacloprid^[36], and organophosphate pesticides^[37,38] in plants. As presented in Figure 3, MIP-related research in the field of plant science has grown constantly in recent years. In the area of patents related to MIP, there is a growth pattern that has been recorded since 1966 and is shown in Figure 4. These data sources were applied in the preparation of the current manuscript, and Figure 5 provides a schematic illustration of the design steps in this study.




Fig. 1. Scheme of molecular imprinting used in MIP production.




Fig. 2. Distribution of paper found in PubMed on the subject of MIPs.

MIP application in plant analysis

The technique involving MIP usage is a fast, low-cost one and has wide applications in identifying compounds in the field of biology. In this regard, MIPs made with plant metabolites are also a useful method for identifying and extracting plant compounds. Some examples of MIP application in the field of plant material analysis have been described below.

Identification and quantitation of gallic acid, a phenolic secondary metabolite, in medicinal plant extracts and food ingredients such as orange juice has been a recognized issue. The expanded pipette-tip molecularly imprinted silica monolithic HPLC

technique has been used practically for tracing gallic acid in orange juice samples and shown the reliability of this method^[39]. The accuracy of this method was examined by the extraction performance of spiking samples at three concentrations (0.05, 0.50, and 2.00 mg/L) with a standard solution of gallic acid. The values of gallic acid in the spiked orange juice samples were in the range of 95.6–100.5% and 92.0–97.0%, respectively. The results displayed that the usual method was impressive and reliable for monitoring gallic acid in orange juice samples. No interposition with other constituents of orange juice was observed on the surface of MIP monolith^[39].




Fig. 3. Distribution of published papers found in PubMed on the subject of plant material analysis by MIPs.




Fig. 4. Distribution of patents in the area of MIPs, as indicated in MIP database.

In the analysis of solutions containing plant materials, MISPE has demonstrated that the components in the TCM extracts are nearly completely adsorbed onto the MIPs^[40]. The extraction performance using this method for a terpenoid substance called Kirenol was 80.9%, and the recovery of the spiked solution was $91.5\% \pm 3.2$ ($n = 3$). According to these findings, the MISPE method is suitable for the enrichment and determination of Kirenol in TCM and for the selective clean-up of plant extracts. In a study conducted by Ghasemi *et al.*^[41], imprinted membrane was employed to enrich paclitaxel from yew tree extract up to 48%. Due to simplicity and cost-effectiveness, this method can be an alternative to difficult and expensive approaches in identifying paclitaxel^[41]. MIPs have also been successfully used to identify polyphenols in plant extracts^[42] and to extract glucosamine from chicory roots with promising results^[43].

MMIP for coumarin (MMIP-coumarin) has been applied to identify and extract coumarin from food and plant samples^[44]. Quercetin and kaempferol are among the flavonoids in Ginkgo leaves, and the MIPs designed for these substances can trap them in

Ginkgo leaves extract^[45]. MIPs designed for the extraction of selective PA from *Homalomena occulta* and *Cynomorium songaricum* extracts were used with well performance^[46].

MIP has also been successfully used to extract chicoric acid from *Cichorium intybus*. This MIP has shown high selective ability when it is utilized against the template's structural analogues, including CA, caftaric acid, and chlorogenic acid^[47]. Besides, MIP has been utilized to separate epigallocatechin gallate in tea extract. A high purity of epigallocatechin gallate has been obtained by using this method, and the mean recoveries of this compound was 87.42%. As a result, MIP method could be suitable for extracting and purifying the aforementioned plant compound^[48]. Emodin is extracted from the alcoholic extract of *Rheum palmatum L.* using MIP with a purity of 95%.^[49] MIP can serve as an adsorbent for the extraction of quercitrin, myricetin, and amentoflavone in *Chamaecyparis obtuse* extract^[50]. Using 3 g of adsorbent under optimized conditions, 0.45 mg/g of quercitrin, 0.18 mg/g of myricetin, and 0.12 mg/g of amentoflavone were obtained. According to the results of that study, the method used to separate the




Fig. 5. Design steps of this review.

the metabolites has a small deviation error and is also very selective and repeatable. In one study, PA was applied as a model for the construction of MIP, which utilized to identify compounds in *Melissa officinalis*. The MIP was unable to determine the gentisic acid, and the recovery power of the syringic acid was low (16.5%). However, other phenolic acids such as protocatechuic acid, gallic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, and vanillic acid were extracted with more than 56% yield^[51]. A study had been performed to determine the possibility of extracting caffeine and theophylline from green tea using MIP^[52]. Two types of MIP were designed with two different patterns, one was caffeine-theophylline mixture, and the other was pentoxifylline-theophylline mixture. The results revealed that MIP could be exploited to extract caffeine and theophylline from green tea solutions.

MIP has been used to separate the sinomenine in *Sinomenium acutum*. As a result, almost pure sinomenine was obtained by MIP. In addition, by applying the MIP designed for sinomenine, it is possible to identify the sinomenine in biological materials. Besides, results revealed that MIP technique can be widely used in the fields of plant metabolite extraction and biopharmaceutical analysis^[53]. Table 1 shows examples of plant compounds identified by MIP. In our previous work, MIP was used to detect hyoscyamine in *Lactuca scariola*^[54]. According to the results, the unique three-dimensional, highly-ordered photonic hydrogels would be obviously bound in response to the specific atropine molecular recognition process, and the response would be directly transferred into visually perceptible optical signal that could be detected by the naked eyes^[54].

Production and physico-chemical aspects of MIP

Design and production of MIPs

MIP production is easy and inexpensive and does not require sophisticated tools. There are ways to produce MIPs having one basis. Most of them need a crosslinker, solvent, and surfactant. One way to produce MIP is to use sol-gel concept; some researchers have employed this technique to make MIP^[39,49]. The surface imprinting technique has been used to produce MIP-based sorbents with a polymer layer coated on the Fe₃O₄ surface^[44]. In 2018, for the first time, scientists reported the successful production of a paclitaxel made from polysulfone membrane, which improved the separation of such materials^[41]. In preparing MIP to absorb polyphenols, the material obtained from 4VP as a functional monomer, ethylene glycol dimethacrylate as a crosslinker, water/methanol as a solvent, n-heptane as an oil, and Span 80 as a surfactant showed better performance to other forms of MIP in absorption process^[42].

Washing step

Washing of pattern monomers (target molecule) from MIP is one of the most essential steps in the MIP production process because presence of pattern molecules in the MIP interferes with binding of the desired composition and causes errors in the work. It is important to choose the best washing solvent, i.e. the one that will wash the monomer from the MIP and does not damage the MIP. Researchers have tested various solvents to select best functional ones. High purity hexane has widely been used as a solvent for washing MIP. The best recovery came when methanol:acetic acid 90:10 was applied for washing. Adding acetic acid makes it easier to remove the template^[39]. In a study, acetonitrile (used to load the samples) and water were utilized as washing solvents. Less than 5% of the template was washed with water or acetonitrile alone^[51].

Physical properties

Binding capacity

The binding capacity depends on factors such as the quality of the prepared MIP and the amount of porosity to bind the target material. There is a competition between the desired compound and similar compounds to bind the MIP. In a study conducted by Karasová *et al.*^[51], the connection of target compounds to the designed MIP was very appropriate so that the MIP had the highest connection capacity with PA (34.7 µg/g of MIP) and gallic acid (29.5 µg/g of MIP). It has been shown that MIP not only can separate phenolic acids from other substances in the extract but also can separate phenolic acids from each other^[55]. Moreover, the connection capacity of MIPs is more than NIPs^[44]. In 2016, the researchers generated two types of MIP, one using methacrylic acid and the other with acrylic acid^[56]. In the MIPs with methacrylic acid, connection capacity was higher than the MIPs using acrylic acid. Methyl groups in methacrylic acid may repel other groups, making methacrylic acid MIP more porous. As a result, methacrylic acid MIP has a greater ability to absorb quercetin than acrylic acid MIP. The best binding capacity and specific surface area of this research was 392.08 µg/g and 167.899 m²/g, respectively, which is pertained to methacrylic acid MIP. There has been MIPs designed to bind to chioric acid with a high binding ability to this substance^[47]. MIP has a high absorption capacity to its template, no matter how complex is its template matrix and has the ability to separate that material^[49]. After synthesizing MIP, Dong *et al.*^[57] conducted an experiment to evaluate the combined affinity of MIP and non-imprinted blank polymer to (-)-ephedrine. The results showed that MIP had a higher binding capacity for (-)-ephedrine than non-imprinted blank polymer.

Table 1. Samples with plant origin analyzed by MIPs

Template	Sample	Metabolites	Reference
Gallic acid	orange juice	Gallic acid	[39]
Protocatechuic acid	<i>Melissa officinalis</i>	p-hydroxybenzoic acid	[51]
Nicotine	<i>Nicotiana rustica</i> (tobacco)	Nicotine	[30]
Pharmacophoric flavonoids	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Flavonoids	[45]
Phenolic acids	<i>Salicornia herbacea</i>	Phenolic acids	[55]
Kireinol	<i>Siegesbeckia pubescens</i>	Kireinol	[40]
Osthole	<i>Libanotis Buchtomensis</i>	Osthole	[58]
2,4-dinitrophenol	<i>Taxus baccata</i>	Paclitaxel	[41]
Coumarin and 7-hydroxycoumarin	<i>Cinnamomum verum, Matricaria chamomilla, Lavandula, dried archangel</i>	Coumarins	[44]
Glucose and fructose	<i>Malus domestica</i>	Glucose and fructose	[60]
Polydatin	Red wine	Polyphenols	[42]
Glucosamine	<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	Glucosamine	[43]
Protocatechuic acid	<i>Homalomena occulta</i> and <i>Cynomorium songaricum</i>	Derivates of p-hydroxybenzoic acid	[46]
Chicoric acid	<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	Chicoric acid	[47]
Epigallocatechin Gallate	<i>Camellia sinensis</i>	Epigallocatechin gallate	[48]
Emodin	<i>Rheum palmatum</i> L.	Emodin	[49]
Betulin	<i>Platanus</i> sp	Betulin and Betulinic Acid	[59]
Flavonols and Flavones	<i>Chamaecyparis obtusa</i>	Flavonols and Flavones	[50]
Quercetin	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Pharmacophoric flavonoids	[45]
Quercetin	<i>Caragana Jubata</i>	Anti-EGFR inhibitors	[61]
Quercetin	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Quercetin and kaempferol	[45]
Sinomenine	<i>Sinomenium acutum</i>	Sinomenine	[53]
Podophyllotoxin	<i>Sinopodophyllum emodi</i>	Podophyllotoxin	[62]
Caffeine-theophylline and pentoxifylline-theophylline	<i>Camellia sinensis</i>	Caffeine and theophylline	[52]
Matrine	<i>Sophora flavescens</i>	Matrine	[63]
Protocatechuic acid	<i>Melissa officinalis</i>	Derivates of p-hydroxybenzoic acid	[51]
Esculetin	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	Esculetin	[64]
(-)-ephedrine	<i>Ephedra sinica</i>	(-)-Ephedrine	[57]
Harmine	<i>Peganum nigellastrum</i>	Harmin	[65]

MIP as an analytical technique

Difference between MIP and NIP

The NIP is a structure, which in its production process, a pattern has not been used. The contrast between making MIP and NIP is to add a pattern that makes them slightly different. This discrepancy makes the MIP more capable of absorbing the desired compound than the NIP. Images captured with Atomic Force Microscopy and scanning electron microscope taken from MIPs and NIPs show differences in the size distribution of MIP and NIP particles^[56]. Some dissimilarities were perceived between the NIP and MIP in terms of selectivity. The basic interplay involved in the retention is ionic interaction, hydrogen bonds, and hydrophobic patches in addition to shape formations in cavities. Therefore, these interactions could keep various molecules on the two polymers types, but MIP is more selective and has more capacity than NIP^[43].

Economic aspects of MIP recycling

One of the important abilities of MIPs is their reusability. This is a very important advantage in saving time and money. There has also been research into the MIP recycling. For instance, the ionic liquid-based molecularly imprinted anion-exchange polymer is used to separate PA, CA, and FA in *Salicornia herbacea* L. extract is effectively recyclable. The recovery efficiency of PA, CA, and FA by IMAF over four rounds of usage was 90.1–84.7%, 95.5–87.2%, and 96.6–88.3%, respectively^[55].

A study of MIPs for the selective extraction of coumarins from plant samples showed that after 10 recovery cycles, MIP could still be used to isolate substances; hence, a decrease in MMIP-7-hydroxycoumarin uptake capacity of less than 5% was reported^[44]. Molecularly imprinted membrane made by Ghasemi, *et al.*^[41] was applied at three reconstruction cycles in a selective identification mode. Acceptable recycling has been observed in an MIP designed by He *et al.*^[58]. The regeneration cycle is repeated six times, with the absorption capacity reported to be 94.9%, indicating the strength and stability of the MIPs. Decreased absorption capacity can be due to the blockage of some MIP cavities after recovery or their disappearance during washing. The recycling power of NIP is also examined, which according to the results obtained, the composition of NIP remains almost unchanged because the recognition of NIP is non-specific, and the effect of washing or regeneration is negligible^[58].

MIP advantages and disadvantages

MIPs have many benefits as an analytical tool in detection, separation, and isolation. These advantages make the use of MIPs promising for the identification and extraction of plant compounds, and given these benefits, a bright future is foreseen for them. One of the advantages of this method is its low cost and very low consumption of experimental materials^[39]. This method is fast and cost-effective. The ability to reuse and recycle MIP is one of the highlights^[30]. The superior power about MIPs is that they can detect and absorb specific molecules^[39,48,56,58,60]. MIPs have shown high binding and adsorption capacity of the target molecule^[46,58]. Moreover, MIPs have a high durability against various conditions^[49]. Using MIPs can be a convenient and practical way to identify and isolate plant metabolites. According to research, they were used to identify different substances in plants. This technique can be very useful in herbal research and pharmacy due to its many merits such as simplicity, affordability, and high sensitivity. MIPs have downsides that limit their use, and such barriers have prevented their remarkable progress. Disadvantages can be attributed to the length of analysis time. MIPs are also made with a large amount of template, a number of imprint molecules may remain in the polymer. Hence, there could be a disruption in the analysis^[52].

Conclusion

In general, MIPs are highly applicable to plant and natural product analysis. As a final remark, it should be noted that although MIPs are difficult to optimize, their versatility and sensitive nature make them suitable for analysis in terms of identification and purification.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Fernando WGD. Plants: An international scientific open access journal to publish all facets of plants, their functions and interactions with the environment and other living organisms. *Plants (Basel)* 2012; 1(1): 1-5.
2. Verma S, Singh SP. Current and future status of herbal medicines. *Veterinary world* 2008; 2:347.
3. Sneader W. Drug Prototypes and Their Exploitation. Baffins Lane, Chichester, West Sussex, England. John Wiley and Sons Ltd. 1996.
4. Mann, J. Murder, Magic, and Medicine. World of Books Inc (Wilmington, DE, U.S.A. Oxford University Press, 2000.

5. Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs from 1981 to 2014. *Journal of natural products* 2016; **79**(3): 629-661.
6. Butler MS. The role of natural product chemistry in drug discovery. *Journal of natural products* (2004); **67**(12): 2141-2153.
7. Sasidharan S, Chen Y, Saravanan D, Sundram KM, Latha LY. Extraction, isolation and characterization of bioactive compounds from plants' extracts. *African journal of traditional, complementary and alternative medicines* 2011; **8**(1): 1-10.
8. Meier F, Mizaikoff B. Molecularly imprinted polymers as artificial receptors. 2010; DOI: 10.1002/9783527632480.ch13.
9. Piletska EV, Karim K, Cutler M, Piletsky SA. Development of the protocol for purification of artemisinin based on combination of commercial and computationally designed adsorbents. *Journal of separation science* 2013; **36**(2): 400-406.
10. Haupt K, Mosbach K. Plastic antibodies: developments and applications. *Trends in biotechnology* 1998; **16**(11): 468-475.
11. Kandimalla VB, Ju H. Molecular imprinting: a dynamic technique for diverse applications in analytical chemistry. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry* 2004; **380**(4): 587-605.
12. Ramström O, Ye L, Krook M, Mosbach K. Applications of molecularly imprinted materials as selective adsorbents: emphasis on enzymatic equilibrium shifting and library screening. *Chromatographia* 1998; **47**(7-8): 465-469.
13. Andersson LI. Molecular imprinting for drug bioanalysis: A review on the application of imprinted polymers to solid-phase extraction and binding assay. *Journal of chromatography b: biomedical sciences and applications* 2000; **739**(1): 163-173.
14. Ensing K, de Boer T. Tailor-made materials for tailor-made applications: application of molecular imprints in chemical analysis. *TrAC trends in analytical chemistry* 1999; **18**(3): 138-145.
15. Sellergren B, Lepistoe M, Mosbach K. Highly enantioselective and substrate-selective polymers obtained by molecular imprinting utilizing noncovalent interactions. NMR and chromatographic studies on the nature of recognition. *Journal of the American chemical society* 1988; **110**(17): 5853-5860.
16. Suedee R, Saelim J, Thavornpibulbut T, Srichana T. Chiral determination of various adrenergic drugs by thin-layer chromatography using molecularly imprinted chiral stationary phases prepared with α -agonists. *Analyst* 1999; **124**(7): 1003-1009.
17. Turkewitsch P, Wandelt B, Darling GD, Powell WS. Fluorescent functional recognition sites through molecular imprinting. A polymer-based fluorescent chemosensor for aqueous cAMP. *Analytical chemistry* 1998; **70**(13): 2771.
18. Idziak I, Benrebouh A. A molecularly imprinted polymer for 7 α -ethynylestradiol evaluated by immunoassay. *Analyst* 2000; **125**(8): 1415-1417.
19. Andersson LI. Application of molecular imprinting to the development of aqueous buffer and organic solvent based radioligand binding assays for (S)-propranolol. *Analytical chemistry* 1996; **68**(1):111-117.
20. Vlatakis G, Andersson LI, Müller R, Mosbach K. Drug assay using antibody mimics made by molecular imprinting. *Nature* 1993; **361**(6413): 645-647.
21. Ramström O, Skudar K, Haines J, Patel P, Brüggemann O. Food analyses using molecularly imprinted polymers. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry* 2001; **49**(5): 2105-2114.
22. Olsen J, Martin P, Wilson I, Graeme J. Methodology for assessing the properties of molecular imprinted polymers for solid phase extraction. *Analyst* 1999; **124**: 467-471.
23. Mullett WM, Lai EP. Determination of theophylline in serum by molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction with pulsed elution. *Analytical chemistry* 1998; **70**(17): 3636-3641.
24. Kriz D, Kriz CB, Andersson LI, Mosbach KH. Thin-layer chromatography based on the molecular imprinting technique. *Analytical chemistry* 1994; **66**(17): 2636-2639.
25. Sellergren B. Direct drug determination by selective sample enrichment on an imprinted polymer. *Analytical chemistry* 1994; **66**(9): 1578-1582.
26. Andersson LI, Paprica A, Arvidsson T. A highly selective solid phase extraction sorbent for pre-concentration of sameridine made by molecular imprinting. *Chromatographia* 1997; **46**: 57-62.
27. Crescenzi C, Bayoudh S, Cormack PA, G Klein, T Ensing K. Determination of clenbuterol in bovine liver by combining matrix solid-phase dispersion and molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction followed by liquid chromatography/electrospray ion trap multiple-stage mass spectrometry. *Analytical chemistry* 2001; **73**(10): 2171-2177.
28. Koster EH, Crescenzi C, den Hoedt, W Ensing, de Jong GJ. Fibers coated with molecularly imprinted polymers for solid-phase microextraction. *Analytical chemistry* 2001; **73**(13): 3140-3145.
29. Zander A, Findlay P, Renner T, Sellergren B, Swietlow A. Analysis of nicotine and its oxidation products in nicotine chewing gum by a molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction. *Analytical chemistry* 1998; **70**(15): 3304-3314.
30. Mullett WM, Lai EPC, Sellergren B. Determination of nicotine in tobacco by molecularly imprinted solid phase extraction with differential pulsed elution. *Analytical communications* 1999; **36**(6): 217-220.
31. Muldoon MT, Stanker LH. Molecularly imprinted solid phase extraction of atrazine from beef liver extracts. *Analytical chemistry* 1997; **69**(5): 803-808.
32. Koeber R, Fleischer C, Lanza F, Boos K, S Sellergren, B Barceló D. Evaluation of a multidimensional solid-phase extraction platform for highly selective on-line cleanup and high-throughput LC-MS analysis of triazines in river water samples using molecularly imprinted polymers. *Analytical chemistry* 2001; **73**(11): 2437-2444.
33. Bjarnason B, Chimuka L, Ramström O. On-line solid-

- phase extraction of triazine herbicides using a molecularly imprinted polymer for selective sample enrichment. *Analytical chemistry* 1999; **71**(11): 2152-2156.
34. Shariati R, Rezaei B, Jamei HR, Ensafi AA. Application of coated green source carbon dots with silica molecularly imprinted polymers as a fluorescence probe for selective and sensitive determination of phenobarbital. *Talanta* 2019; **194**: 143-149.
 35. Díaz-Álvarez M, Turiel E, Martín-Esteban A. Molecularly imprinted polymer monolith containing magnetic nanoparticles for the stir-bar sorptive extraction of thiabendazole and carbendazim from orange samples. *Analytica chimica acta* 2019; **1045**: 117-122.
 36. Aria M, M Sorribes-Soriano A, Jafari MT, Nourbakhsh F, Esteve-Turrillas FA, Armenta S, Herrero-Martínez JM, de la Guardia M. Uptake and translocation monitoring of imidacloprid to chili and tomato plants by molecularly imprinting extraction-ion mobility spectrometry. *Microchemical journal* 2019; **144**: 195-202.
 37. Abbasi Ghaeni F, Karimi G, Mohsenzadeh MS, Nazarzadeh M, Motamedshariaty VS, Mohajeri SA. Preparation of dual-template molecularly imprinted nanoparticles for organophosphate pesticides and their application as selective sorbents for water treatment. *Separation science and technology* 2018; **53**(16): 2517-2526.
 38. Boulanouar S, Combès A, Mezzache S, Pichon V. Synthesis and application of molecularly imprinted silica for the selective extraction of some polar organophosphorus pesticides from almond oil. *Analytica chimica acta* 2018; **1018**: 35-44.
 39. Arabi M, Ghaedi M, Ostovan A. Synthesis and application of in-situ molecularly imprinted silica monolithic in pipette-tip solid-phase microextraction for the separation and determination of gallic acid in orange juice samples. *Journal of chromatography B* 2017; **1048**: 102-110.
 40. Chen FF, Wang R, Shi Y P. Molecularly imprinted polymer for the specific solid-phase extraction of kireinol from *Siegesbeckia pubescens* herbal extract. *Talanta* 2012; **89**: 505-512.
 41. Ghasemi S, Nematollahzadeh A. Molecularly imprinted ultrafiltration polysulfone membrane with specific nanocavities for selective separation and enrichment of paclitaxel from plant extract. *Reactive and functional polymers* 2018; **126**: 9-19.
 42. Gomes C, Sadoyan G, Dias R, Costa MRPFN. Development of molecularly imprinted polymers to target polyphenols present in plant extracts. *Processes* 2017; **5**(4): 72.
 43. Henry N, Favetta P, Delépée R, Seigneuret JM, Agrofoglio LA. Synthesis of a molecularly imprinted polymer to isolate glucosamine from plant extracts by an ionic-non-covalent dual approach. *International journal of cosmetic science* 2015; **37**(2): 196-206.
 44. Machyňáková A, Hroboňová K. Preparation and application of magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers for the selective extraction of coumarins from food and plant samples. *Analytical methods* 2017; **9**: DOI: 10.1039/C7AY00502D .
 45. Xie J, Zhu L, Luo H, Zhou L, Li C, Xu X. Direct extraction of specific pharmacophoric flavonoids from ginkgo leaves using a molecularly imprinted polymer for quercetin. *Journal of chromatography A* 2001; **934**(1-2): 1-11.
 46. Xie X, Wei F, Chen L, Wang S. Preparation of molecularly imprinted polymers based on magnetic nanoparticles for the selective extraction of protocatechuic acid from plant extracts. *Journal of separation science* 2015; **38**(6): 1046-1052.
 47. Saad E, Madbouly A, Ayoub N, El Nashar RM. Preparation and application of molecularly imprinted polymer for isolation of chicoric acid from *Chicorium intybus* L. medicinal plant. *Analytica chimica acta*, 2015; **877**: 80-89.
 48. Sun X, Zhang C, Huang YP, Liu ZS. Separation of epigallocatechin gallate from natural plant extracts using crowding agents—assisted imprinted polymers. *Chromatographia* 2015; **78**(15-16): 995-1003.
 49. Chen T, Gu J, Wang H, Yuan G, Chen L, Xu X, Xiao W. Semi-preparative scale separation of emodin from plant extract by using molecularly imprinted polymer as stationary phase. *Chromatographia* 2014; **77**(13-14): 893-899.
 50. Tian M, Bi W, Row KH. Simultaneous extraction and separation of flavonols and flavones from *chamaecyparis obtuse* by multi-phase extraction using an ionic liquid-modified microsphere polymer. *Phytochemical analysis* 2012; **23**(6): 576-581.
 51. Karasová G, Lehota J, Sádecká J, Skačáni I, Lachová M. Selective extraction of derivatives of p-hydroxy-benzoic acid from plant material by using a molecularly imprinted polymer. *Journal of separation science* 2005; **28**(18): 2468-2476.
 52. Wang D, Hong SP, Row KH. Solid extraction of caffeine and theophylline from green tea by molecularly imprinted polymers. *Korean journal of chemical engineering* 2004; **21**: 853-857.
 53. Lin LQ, Zhang J, Fu Q, He LC, Li YC. Concentration and extraction of sinomenine from herb and plasma using a molecularly imprinted polymer as the stationary phase. *Analytica chimica acta* 2006; **561**(1-2): 178-182.
 54. Khangholi S, Karimi Bekr Z, Sardari S. *Lactuca scariola* as Provider of Alkaloids to Make Molecularly Imprinted Detection Matrix. 8th National Congress of Medicinal Plants, Tehran, Apr. 24, Iran: Trabiati Modares University.
 55. Bi W, Tian M, Row KH. Separation of phenolic acids from natural plant extracts using molecularly imprinted anion-exchange polymer confined ionic liquids. *Journal of chromatography A* 2012; **1232**: 37-42.
 56. Amiri A, Ramazani A, Jahanshahi M, Moghadamnia AA. Synthesis and evaluating of nanoporous molecularly imprinted polymers for extraction of quercetin as a bioactive component of medicinal plants. *Iranian journal of chemistry and chemical engineering* 2016; **35**(4): 11-19.

57. Dong X, Wang W, Ma S, Sun H, Li Y, Guo J. Molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction of (-)-ephedrine from Chinese Ephedra. *Journal of chromatography A* 2005; **1070**(1-2): 125-130.
58. He G, Tang Y, Hao Y, Shi J, Gao R. Preparation and application of magnetic molecularly imprinted nanoparticles for the selective extraction of osthole in *Libanotis Buchtomensis* herbal extract. *Journal of separation science* 2016; **39**(12): 2313-2320.
59. Claude B, Viron-Lamy C, Haupt K, Morin P. Synthesis of a molecularly imprinted polymer for the solid-phase extraction of obtuse and betulinic acid from plane bark. *Phytochemical analysis* 2010; **21**(2): 180-185.
60. Muhammad P, Liu J, Xing R, Wen Y, Wang Y, Liu Z. Fast probing of glucose and fructose in plant tissues via plasmonic affinity sandwich assay with molecularly-imprinted extraction microprobes. *Analytica chimica acta* 2017; **995**: 34-42.
61. Zhu L, Xu X. Selective separation of active inhibitors of epidermal growth factor receptor from *Caragana jubata* by molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction. *Journal of chromatography A* 2003; **991**(2): 151-158.
62. Zhu X, Cao Q, Hou N, Wang G, Ding Z. The preparation and the recognition property of molecularly imprinted polymer of podophyllotoxin. *Analytica chimica acta* 2006; **561**(1-2): 171-177.
63. Lai JP, He XW, Jiang Y, Chen F. Preparative separation and determination of matrine from the Chinese medicinal plant *Sophora flavescens* Ait by molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry* 2003; **375**(2): 264-269.
64. Hu SG, Li L, He XW. Solid-phase extraction of esculetin from the ash bark of Chinese traditional medicine by using molecularly imprinted polymers. *Journal of chromatography A* 2005; **1062**(1): 31-37.
65. Xie J, Zhu L, Xu X. Affinitive Separation and On-Line Identification of antitumor components from *peganum nigellastrum* by coupling a chromatographic column of target analogue imprinted polymer with mass spectrometry. *Analytical chemistry* 2002; **74**(10): 2352-2360.