# Toxicity of Nanoparticles and an Overview of Current Experimental Models

Haji Bahadar<sup>1</sup>, Faheem Maqbool<sup>1</sup>, Kamal Niaz<sup>1</sup> and Mohammad Abdollahi<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Toxicology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, International Campus, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Institute of Clinical Endocrine Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; <sup>3</sup>Toxicology and Poisoning Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received 6 June 2015; revised 25 July 2015; accepted 1 August 2015

# ABSTRACT

Nanotechnology is a rapidly growing field having potential applications in many areas. Nanoparticles (NPs) have been studied for cell toxicity, immunotoxicity, and genotoxicity. Tetrazolium-based assays such as MTT, MTS, and WST-1 are used to determine cell viability. Cell inflammatory response induced by NPs is checked by measuring inflammatory biomarkers, such as IL-8, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor, using ELISA. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay is used for cell membrane integrity. Different types of cell cultures, including cancer cell lines have been employed as *in vitro* toxicity models. It has been generally agreed that NPs interfere with either assay materials or with detection systems. So far, toxicity data generated by employing such models are conflicting and inconsistent. Therefore, on the basis of available experimental models, it may be difficult to judge and list some of the more valuable NPs as more toxic to biological systems and vice versa. Considering the potential applications of NPs in many fields and the growing apprehensions of FDA about the toxic potential of nanoproducts, it is the need of the hour to look for new internationally agreed free of bias toxicological models by focusing more on *in vivo* studies. *DOI:* 10.7508/ibj.2016.01.001

Keywords: Cytotoxicity, in vitro, Metal nanoparticles, Toxicology, Review

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Abdollahi

Dept. of Toxicology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, International Campus, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; Tel/Fax: (+98-21) 66959104; E-mail: Mohammad.Abdollahi@UToronto.Ca, Mohammad@TUMS.ac.Ir

# INTRODUCTION

ngineered nanoparticles (NPs) are commercially produced materials having at least one dimension less than 100 nm<sup>[1]</sup>. Nano-technology has brought a great revolution in the industrial sector. Due to their distinctive physicochemical and electrical nano-sized properties, materials have gained considerable attraction in the field of electronics, biotechnology, and aerospace engineering. In the field of medicine NPs are being employed as a novel delivery system for drugs, proteins, DNA, and monoclonal antibodies<sup>[2-4]</sup>. So far, NPs have been prepared from metal and non-metal, polymeric materials and bioceramics. The majority of NPs having medical applications are liposomes, polyethylene glycol, and dendrimers<sup>[5]</sup>. Humans are exposed to various nano-scale materials since childhood, and the new emerging field of nanotechnology has become another threat to human life<sup>[6]</sup>. Because of their small size, NPs find their way easily to enter the human body and cross the various biological barriers and may reach the most sensitive organs<sup>[7]</sup>. Scientists have proposed that NPs of size less than 10 nm act similar to a gas and can enter human tissues easily and may disrupt the cell normal biochemical environment<sup>[8]</sup>. Animals and human studies have shown that after inhalation and through oral exposure, NPs are distributed to the liver, heart, spleen, and brain in addition to lungs and gastrointestinal tract<sup>[9-11]</sup>. In order to clear these NPs from the body, the components of the immune system are activated. The estimated half life of NPs in human

lungs is about 700 days posing a consistent threat to respiratory system. During metabolism, some of the NPs are congregated in the liver tissues<sup>[6-12]</sup>. NPs are more toxic to human health in comparison to large-sized particles of the same chemical substance, and it is usually suggested that toxicities are inversely proportional to the size of the NPs<sup>[13-15]</sup>. Due to their characteristic physicochemical properties in different biological systems, unpredictable health outcomes of NPs were eminent to scientists. So, to bridge the gap of knowledge and to exclusively tackle the toxicity issues related to NPs, different thinking aiming to contribute to safe use of NPs is felt essential.

# Nanomaterials of different substances and their toxicity

# NPs of metallic substances

#### Aluminum oxide

Aluminum-based NPs contribute 20% to all nanosized chemicals. According to a report issued by "The Global Market for Aluminum Oxide NPs", aluminumbased NPs are being used in many areas such as fuel cells, polymers, paints, coatings, textiles, biomaterials etc. (http://www.futuremarket sinc.com). About their toxic effects, Chen *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup> have reported that aluminum oxide NPs disturb the cell viability, alter mitochondrial function, increase oxidative stress, and also alter tight junction protein expression of the blood brain barrier (BBB). Other researchers, for example, Radziun *et al.*<sup>[17]</sup> have described that aluminum oxide NPs, at concentrations of 10, 50,100, 200, and 400 µg/mL possess no significant toxic effect on viability of mammalian cells. These investigators employed EZ4U assay technique instead of MTT for cell viability assessment. Similarly, another study has reported a dose-dependent (25-40 µg/mL) cytotoxicity as the effect of aluminum oxide NPs (160 nm) on human mesenchymal stem cells. Cell cytotoxicity in this study was also measured by MTT assay<sup>[18]</sup>. Besides, these have been screened for genotoxicity. NPs Balasubramanyam et al.<sup>[19]</sup> have reported that aluminum oxide NPs (30-40 nm) possess dosedependent genotoxic properties. They assessed genotoxicity with comet assay and micronucleus test using rat blood cells. The result of another study using mouse lymphoma cells line also suggest that aluminum oxide NPs (<50 nm) cause genotoxic effects in the form of DNA damage without any mutagenic effects<sup>[20]</sup>. There are very few *in vivo* studies which have reflected on this aspect of NPs.

According to available literature, aluminum oxide NPs have been tested with main focus on cytotoxicity

and genotoxic effects. Based on its huge application in various areas and subsequent human exposure, it is extremely desirable to screen aluminum-based NPs for other toxic health effects on humans according to standard protocols.

## Gold

Gold NPs have very unique physicochemical properties. They have the capability of easy functionalization; binding to amine and thiol groups. All these characteristics possessed by gold NPs pave the way for surface modification, and are being investigated as drug carriers in cancer and thermal therapy, and as contrast agents<sup>[21]</sup>. Gold NPs are considered to be relatively safe, as its core is inert and non-toxic. In one experimental study, several gold NPs (4, 12, and 18 nm) with different caping agents have been investigated for any cytotoxicity against leukemia cells line<sup>[22]</sup>. The results of this report suggest that spherical gold NPs enter the cell and are non-toxic to cellular function. The cytotoxicity was evaluated by MTT assay<sup>[22]</sup>. However, there are some other reports suggesting that cytotoxicity associated with gold NPs depends on dose, side chain (cationic) and the stabilizer used<sup>[23,24]</sup>. Cytotoxicity of gold NPs are dependent on the type of toxicity assay, cell line, and physical/chemical properties. The variation in toxicity with respect to different cell lines has been observed in human lung and liver cancer cell line<sup>[25]</sup>.

#### Copper oxide

Copper oxide NPs are used in semiconductors, antimicrobial reagents, heat transfer fluids, and intrauterine contraceptive devices<sup>[26]</sup>. Experimentally, copper nanomaterials have been documented to possess toxic effects on the liver and kidney<sup>[27]</sup>. Nano-copper has resulted severe impairment in liver, kidney, and spleen in experimental animals. After oral administration and interacting with gastric juice, highly reactive ionic copper is formed, which is then accumulated in the kidney of exposed animals<sup>[28,29]</sup>. In one *in vitro* study, copper oxide NPs (50 nm), have been reported as being genotoxic and cytotoxic along with disturbing cell membrane integrity and inducing oxidative stress<sup>[30]</sup>.

#### Silver

Historically, silver has long been known as an antibacterial substance. Its NPs are being used in a wide range of commercial products. Silver NPs are used in the form of wound dressings, coating of surgical instruments and prostheses<sup>[31]</sup>. They enter human body via different ways and accumulate in different organs, crossing the BBB and reach brain. Experimentally, silver NPs have been detected in various organs, including lungs, spleen, kidney, liver, and brain after exposing the rats to silver-based NPs either via subcutaneous injection<sup>[32]</sup>. inhalation or by Furthermore, in comparison to others, these NPs have shown more toxicity in term of cell viability, generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) leakage<sup>[33]</sup>. Silver NPs are available in different coatings, each having different degrees of cytotoxicity. After exposing polyvinyl-pyrrolidone-coated silver NPs (6-20 nm) to human lung cancer cell line, Foldbjerg et al.<sup>[34]</sup> have reported a dose-dependent cytotoxicity, and cellular DNA adduct formation. In this in vitro study, the MTT dye-based technique has been used for assessing the viability of human lung cancer cell line. There is one more report supporting the previously mentioned study up to some extents. These authors are of the opinion that peptide-coated silver NPs (20 nm) are more cytotoxic versus citrate-coated silver NPs of the same size. Human leukemia cell line was used in this study, and the cytotoxicity of the cells was determined by WST-1 assay<sup>[35]</sup>. Based on special biokinetic characteristics, as discussed earlier, it is necessary to address the toxicity-related issues of silver NPs in appropriate experimental models in light of standard protocols with respect to kidney, liver, lungs, and central nervous system disorders and most importantly in relation to endocrine functions.

#### Zinc oxide

NPs produced from zinc oxide have many applications and are being used in paints, wave filters, UV detectors, gas sensors, sunscreens, and many personal care products<sup>[36,37]</sup>. On the basis of increased use in many areas, human exposure to zinc oxide NPs is imminent<sup>[38]</sup>. Zinc oxide NPs have been studied for any possible toxic effects on bacteria and mammalian cells. Cytotoxicity, cell membrane damage, and increased oxidative stress have been reported in various mammalian cell lines as the most common toxic effect of zinc-based nanomaterials<sup>[37]</sup>. After exposing human mesothelioma cells and rodent fibroblast cells to zinc oxide NPs with high concentration (49 mg/mL), Brunner *et al.*<sup>[39]</sup> found almost complete cell death in the cell culture. Similarly, in another in vitro study, zinc oxide NPs have been accounted for change in cell morphology, DNA damage, alteration in mitochondrial activity in human hepatocytes, and embryonic kidney cells. In this experiment, MTT and comet assays have been used for measuring the cell viability and DNA damage, respectively<sup>[40]</sup>. Using human dermal fibroblast cells as a model and MTT as an assay technique, Meyer et al.<sup>[41]</sup> have reported a decrease in cell viability after exposing the above cell lines to zinc oxide NPs (20 nm). Besides cytotoxicity, the genotoxic potential of

zinc oxide NPs has been reported in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. An *in vitro* study utilizing HEp-2 cell line, published by Osman *et al.*<sup>[42]</sup> revealed that zinc oxide NPs exert its genotoxic effect via DNA damage. Standard techniques such as comet assay and cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay were used to determine the genotoxic potential of zinc oxide NPs in this *in vitro* study. Chronic exposure to zinc oxide NPs (300 mg/kg) resulted in oxidative DNA damage along with altering various enzymes of the liver. DNA damage was measured by using the comet assay technique<sup>[43]</sup>.

# Iron oxide

Iron oxide NPs have been used in biomedical, drug delivery, and diagnostic fields. These NPs bioaccumulate in the liver and other reticuloendothelial system organs<sup>[44,45]</sup>. In vivo studies have shown that after entering the cells, iron oxide NPs remain in cell organelles (endosomes/lysosomes), release into cytoplasm after decomposing, and contribut to cellular iron poll. Magnetic iron oxide NPs have been observed to accumulate in the liver, spleen, lungs, and brain after inhalation, showing its ability to cross BBB<sup>[46]</sup>. Evidence show that these NPs exert their toxic effect in the form of cell lysis, inflammation, and disturbing blood coagulation system<sup>[47]</sup>. Also, reduced cell viability has been reported as the most common toxic effect of iron oxide NPs in in vitro studies. Iron oxide NPs coated with different substances have shown variable cell viability results. The toxicity of Tweencoated supermagnetic iron oxide NPs (30 nm) on murine macrophage cells has been reported by Naqvi et al.<sup>[44]</sup>. They are of the opinion that low concentration of iron oxide NPs (25-200 µg/mL for 2 h exposure) shows more cell toxicity in comparison to high concentrations (300-500 µg/mL for 6 h exposure). However, dextran-coated iron oxide NPs (100-150 nm, 0.1 mg/ mL) reduced cell viability in human macrophages by 20% after seven days of incubation<sup>[48]</sup>. Moreover, in another study on mouse neuroblastoma (Neuro-2A) cell line, iron oxide NPs (25 nm) have been found to exert less toxic effect in term of changing cell morphology, cell permeability, cell apoptosis, and mitochondrial function<sup>[49]</sup>. Using human hepatocellular carcinoma cells, chitosan-coated iron oxide NPs (13.8 nm) at concentration of 123.52 µg/mL have shown 10% cell viability after 12 h exposure<sup>[50]</sup>. 1-hydroxy-ethylidene-1,1-bisphosphonic However, acid coated iron oxide NPs (20 nm, 0.1 mg/mL) have shown 70% cell viability after exposing rat's mesenchymal stem cells for two days. Cell viability was determined by MTS assay in this study<sup>[51]</sup>. It has been thought that the toxic effects of iron oxide NPs are due to excessive production of ROS. These

[ DOR: 20.1001.1.1028852.2016.20.1.8.7 ]

DOI: 10.7508/ibj.2016.01.001 ]

generated ROS further elicit DNA damage and lipid peroxidation<sup>[46]</sup>.

#### Titanium oxide

Titanium oxide is chemically an inert compound, but studies have shown that NPs of titanium dioxide possess some toxic health effects in experimental animals, including DNA damage as well as genotoxicity and lung inflammation<sup>[52,53]</sup>. Titanium dioxide NPs (<100 nm) induce oxidative stress and form DNA adducts<sup>[54]</sup>. Besides genotoxicity, titanium dioxide NPs (5-200 nm) possess toxic effects on immune function, liver, kidney, spleen, myocardium, glucose, and lipids homeostasis in experimental animals<sup>[55,56]</sup>.

#### NPs of non-metallic substances

#### Carbon-based nanomaterials

From application point of view, the carbon-based nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes, fullerenes, single and multi-walled carbon nanotubes are the most attractive and are widely used nanomaterials<sup>[57]</sup>. Carbon-based nanomaterials have been reported in literature as cytotoxic agents. Magrez et al.<sup>[58]</sup> have reported that carbon-based nanomaterials possess sizedependent cytotoxicity. These investigators have tested various forms of carbon NPs on lung cancer cells to assess cell viability with MTT assay. Moreover, similar results have been published by another study conducted by Herzog *et al.*<sup>[59]</sup> on carbon nanomaterials. These investigators have used a different approach for the evaluation of cell toxicity by using the clonogenic assay technique for cell proliferation and cell death. They utilized human alveolar carcinoma epithelial cell line, normal human bronchial epithelial cell line, and human keratinocytes cell line. Carbon nanotubes exert size-dependent toxicity. In animals, multi-walled carbon nanotubes have produced carcinogenic effects similar to asbestos after injecting into peritoneal cavity, as compared to single-walled carbon nanotubes, which were readily taken up by macrophages<sup>[60]</sup>. However, long-term accumulation of single-walled carbon nanotubes in the liver has caused disturbance in certain biochemical parameters in the form of LDH, aspartate transaminases, alanine transaminase, glutathione, and malondialdehyde along with changing the organ indices in experimental animals<sup>[61]</sup>. In case of carbon NPs, along with size, method of preparation and the presence of trace metals determine the extent of toxicity and biological response of the cells<sup>[62,63]</sup>. Fullerenes are type of carbon-based nanomaterials. They are extensively present in our environment released from fuel combustion. Non-functionalized fullerenes C60 are highly distributed in all tissues, and

long-term accumulation has been observed in the liver, kidney, bones, and spleen<sup>[64-66]</sup>. *In vitro* studies have shown that fullerenes exert genotoxicity in the form of DNA strand breakage, chromosomal damage, and micronucleus formation after incubating fullerenes (1 ng/mL) with Chinese hamster ovary cells, human epidermoid-like carcinoma cells and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293) for 80 days<sup>[67,68]</sup>. However, according to another study, fullerenes have been found with no significant effect on DNA strand breakage as determined by comet assay<sup>[69]</sup>. These variations in the results might be related to different experimental conditions used. The safe use of carbon NPs cannot be ascertained due to the lack of comprehensive evaluation of toxicity data.

#### Silica

The uses of silica NPs have many advantages in drug delivery systems. silica NPs have been reported as easily functionalized drug carriers<sup>[70]</sup>. Besides, having application in drug delivery systems, silicon dioxide NPs are also present in ambient air comprising of 8% of all air born NPs<sup>[71]</sup>. Previously, nanosilica was thought as a highly biocompatible material in drug delivery systems, but according to recent reports, NPs of silica cause the generation of ROS and subsequent oxidative stress<sup>[72]</sup>. Lin *et al.*<sup>[73]</sup> have reported an increase in the level of ROS, LDH, and malondialdehyde after treating human bronchoalveolar carcinoma cells with silica NPs (15-46 nm,) at a dosage range of 10-100 µg/mL. In this experiment, ROS has been measured with 2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate, LDH, with a commercial kit. Similarly, induction of inflammatory biomarkers such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, TNF- $\alpha$  (tumor necrosis factor) and mitochondrial damage by silica NPs have been reported in various other studies<sup>[74-76]</sup>. In one more *in* vitro study on liver cells, silica-based NPs (70 nm) at 30 mg/kg have been found to alter biochemical parameters along with hepatotoxic effects<sup>[77]</sup>.

#### NPs of polymeric materials

Biodegradable or polymeric NPs have the potential to be used in targeted drug delivery in cancer chemotherapy. These NPs are also employed in encapsulation of various molecules to develop nanomedicine providing sustained release and good biocompatibility with cells and tissues<sup>[78]</sup>. In addition, they have the potential to be successfully used in encapsulation of peptides, nucleic acids, and proteins. They are also considered as non-toxic, nonimmunologic, non-inflammatory and do not activate neutrophils. Poly-(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) has been used very successfully as a nanosystem for targeted delivery of drugs and other molecules. Up to now, poly -(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide)-based nanosystem have been reported with least toxicity, as it undergoes hydrolysis and produce biocompatible metabolites, lactic acid and glycolic acid. However, there has been recently published one report proposing that surface coating induces the toxicity of polymeric NPs towards human-like macrophages<sup>[79]</sup>.

# DISCUSSION

Currently, the toxicity of engineered NPs is assessed with a number of approaches. Among them, the most beneficial one in term of cost and time saving are the in vitro studies. However so far, the in vitro studies in different laboratories have produced varying results. Cell viability is assessed most commonly by tetrazolium reduction assays, cell membrane integrity with LDH assay, immunohistochemistry biomarkers for apoptosis, and comet assay for genotoxicity. For intracellular localization of NPs, electron microscopy is employed<sup>[46,80]</sup>. To detect viable cells, compounds such as MTT, MTS, XTT, and WST-1 are used. MTT being a positive compound readily enter the viable eukaryotic cells while negative compounds such as MTS, XTT, and WST-1 do not permeate cells rapidly. Among all, the MTT tetrazolium assay has been widely adopted in laboratories for evaluation of cell toxicity (Table 1). MTT and other assay techniques require incubation of a reagent with cell culture. Viable cells convert the reagent into a color or a fluorescent product, which is then detected on a plate reader. In case of non-viable cells, the ability to convert reagent into a color or fluorescent product is lost<sup>[81,82]</sup>. Due to unique physicochemical properties, NPs interact with assay components or interfere with read out and may produce variable results, as noticed for carbon nanomaterials<sup>[83]</sup>. NPs induce the formation of ROS, which may affect the mitochondrial enzymes and subsequently the final read out<sup>[46]</sup>.

Moreover, it has been reported in literature that the absorption spectrum of reduced MTT depends on pH<sup>[84]</sup>, and metal ions interfere with reduction reaction of MTT<sup>[85]</sup>. However more recently, a real-time cell-microelectronic sensing technique, with minimum interference, has been employed for evaluating NPs-induced cytotoxic effects<sup>[86]</sup>. Furthermore, due to inherent optical properties, NPs present in the reaction mixture or on cell surfaces may directly interfere in the read out by increasing the light absorption as evident for sodium titanate NPs<sup>[87,88]</sup>. Substantial quantity of LDH is released from the cytosol after cellular necrosis<sup>[89]</sup>. LDH assay has been used to determine the cytotoxicity of many NPs produced from silica, iron oxide, titanium oxide, and zinc oxide<sup>[33,49,90-93]</sup>.

However, there is a concern in scientific community about the consistency of LDH assay. As reported by Nachlas *et al.*<sup>[94]</sup>, LDH activity is significantly decreased under low pH conditions while high pH destabilizes it.

ELISA is used to detect inflammatory biomarkers in cell culture. To estimate cell inflammation, chemokines IL-8, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-6 are used as biomarkers<sup>[88,90]</sup>. However, it has been noticed that cytokines may be adsorbed on NPs surfaces and interfere with the results of enzymatic immunoassays as observed in case of IL-8 for carbon nanomaterials and IL-6 for metals oxide NPs<sup>[83,95]</sup>. The sterility of NPs needs to be considered, as most of the NPs are manufactured in an unsterile environment having either bacteria or endotoxins, so the level of inflammatory markers may be altered by utilizing unsterilized NPs<sup>[96,97]</sup>.

Due to unique physicochemical characteristics of NPs, inconsistent toxicological data have been generated even from well-established in vitro models. Physico-chemical properties of NPs, high adsorption capacity, alteration of pH, optical properties, surface charge, dissolution, magnetism, and catalytic behavior may either interfere with assay materials or detection system<sup>[88]</sup>. The risk of using cell lines for toxicity studies has been documented by Donaldson et al.<sup>[98]</sup>. authors are of the opinion that in in vitro These conditions, cell experiences a different toxic response as likely to be seen in vivo. Moreover, in laboratory, carcinoma cell lines having a different pathophysiology from normal cells are usually employed for in vitro toxicity testing of NPs, and the toxicological data derived from using such type of cell lines might be conflicting with that of normal cells<sup>[99]</sup>.

In addition, NPs derived from certain bioceramic substances such as hydroxyapatite have been widely used in medicine since long ago, particulary in contact with bone. Also, hydroxyapatite has been reported to possess high biocompatibility towards bone cells. One research study has suggested that after intravenous injection, nanohydroxyapatite carrys no bioaccumulative toxicity in rabbit<sup>[100,101]</sup>. However, comprehensive toxicity data regarding nanohydroxyapatite is still lacking.

NPs are being used in a variety of sectors, and their use is increasing. Based on multiple uses in many areas, human exposure to NPs, both intentionally and unintentionally, is inevitable. Before being considered for human application, all nanoproducts are subjected to toxicological studies and for this purpose, several experimental studies are carried out. To meet this regulatory requirement, some toxic effects of nanomaterials have been evaluated, but according to reports, the toxicological data derived so far is conflicting and inconsistent. Toxicological studies

NPs and size (nm)	Concentration and exposure duration	Species/cell culture	Assay technique	Result	Ref.
Aluminum oxide (8-12)	1-10 μM 24 h	HBMVECs	MTT DHE	Cell viability ↓ Mitochondrial function ↓ Oxidative stress ↑ Alter proteins expression of the BBB	[16]
Aluminum oxide (50-80)	10, 50, 100, 200, 400 µg/mL 24 h	Mammalian cells	EZ4U	No significant toxic effect on cell viability	[17]
Aluminum oxide (160)	25-40 μg/mL 12 h	HMSC	MTT	Cell viability ↓	[18]
Aluminum oxide (30-40)	500-2000 mg/kg 72 h	Rat blood cells	Comet Micronucleus	Dose-dependent genotoxicity	[19]
Aluminum oxide (50)	0-5000 μg/mL 2 h	MLCL	Comet	DNA damage	[20
Copper oxide (50)	10, 25, 50 μg/mL 24 h	Human lung epithelial cells	MTT LDH	Cell viability ↓ LDH ↑ Lipid peroxidation ↑	[30]
MWCNTs (20)	0.002-0.2 μg/mL 4 days	Lung cancer cells	MTT	Cell viability ↓	[58
SWCNT (800)	0-400 μg/mL 10 days	HACECs NHBECs	Clonogenic	Cell death	[59
SWCNTs (10-30)	40 and 200 µg/mouse, 1 mg/mouse, 90 days	in vivo	Commercial kits	LDH ↑ AST ↑ ALT ↑	[61
Fullerenes (178)	1 ng/mL 80 days	CHO HELA HEK293	Micronucleus test	DNA strand breakage Chromosomal damage	[67,6
Silica (15-46)	10-100 μg/mL 48 h	Human bronchoalveolar carcinoma cells	DCFH-DA Commercial kit	ROS ↑ LDH ↑ Malondialdehyde ↑	[73
Silica (43)	25-200 μg/mL 3-24 h	Hepatocellular carcinoma cells (HepG2)	DCFH-DA 5,5,6,6-tetraethyl- benzimidazo-lylcarbo- cyanide iodine	ROS ↑ Mitochondrial damage Oxidative stress ↑	[76
Silver (15-100)	10-50 μg/mL 24 h	BRL 3A	LDH MTT Glutathione DCFH-DA	Cell viability ↓ LDH ↑ ROS ↑	[33
Silver (30-50)	0-20 μg/mL 24 h	Human alveolar cell line	MTT DCFH-DA	Cell viability ↓ ROS ↑	[34
Silver 20-40)		Human leukemia cell line	WST-1 LDH	Cell viability ↓ LDH ↑	[35
Zinc oxide (50-70)	11.5 μg/mL 24 h	Human colon carcinoma cells	ELISA Flow-cytometry	Oxidative stress↑ Cell viability↓ Inflammatory biomarkers	[1]

Table 1. In vitro/in vivo studies on toxicity of various types of NPs

Iran. Biomed. J. 20 (1): 1-11

NPs and size (nm)	Concentration and exposure duration	Species/cell culture	Assay technique	Result	Ref.
Zinc oxide (307-419)	10-100 μg/mL 24-48 h	Human cervix carcinoma cell line (HEp-2)	Comet micronucleus test MTT	DNA damage Cell viability ↓	[42]
Zinc oxide (30-70)	14-20 μg/mL 12 h	in vivo	MTT Comet DCFH-DA	Cell viability ↓ DNA damage ROS Apoptosis	[43]
Zinc oxide (50)	0-100 μg/mL 24 h	Human hepatocytes HEK 293 cell line	MTT Comet	DNA damage Cell viability ↓ Oxidative stress Mitochondrial damage	[40]
Zinc oxide (<20)	100 µg/mL	Human bronchial epithelial cells	-	Cell viability↓ Oxidative stress↑ LDH release	[37]
Iron oxide (30)	25-200 μg/mL 2 h	Murine macrophage cells	MTT	Cell viability ↓	[44]
Iron oxide (100-150)	0.1 mg/ mL 7 days	Human macrophages	MTS	Cell viability ↓	[49]
Iron oxide (13.8)	123.52 μg/mL 12 h	Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells	MTT	Cell viability ↓	[50]
Iron oxide (20)	0.1 mg/mL 2 days	Rat mesenchymal stem cells	MTS	Cell viability ↓	[51]
Titanium oxide (160)	1800 μg/mouse 10 days	in vivo	Comet micronucleus test	DNA damage Genotoxicity	[53]
Titanium oxide (<100)	10-50 μg/mL 6-24 h	Human lung cells	ELISA Trypan blue DCFH-DA	Oxidative stress ↑ DNA adduct -formation Cytotoxicity ↑	[54]

HBMVECs, Human brain micro vascular endothelial cells; DHE, Dihydroethidium; BBB, blood- brain- barrier; HMSC, Human mesenchymal stem cells; MLCL, Mouse lymphoma cells line; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase; MWCNTs, Multi- walled carbon nano tubes; SWCNTs: Single walled carbon nano tubes; HACECs, Human alveolar carcinoma epithelial cell line; NHBECs, Normal human bronchial epithelial cell line; AST: Aspartae transaminase; ALT, Alanine transaminase; CHO, Chinese Hamster ovary cells; HELA, Human epidermoid-like-carcinoma cells; HEK: Human embryonic kidney cells; DCFH-DA, Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate; ROS, Reactive oxygen species; BRL 3A, Buffalo rat liver cells.  $\uparrow$  = increase and  $\downarrow$ = decrease.

provide a base for the protection of both human and environment. Therefore, on the basis of available experimental models, it may be difficult to list some of the more valuable NPs as more toxic to biological systems and vice versa. Considering the potential applications of NPs in many fields and to address the knowledge gap, the relevant toxic effects of NPs should be assessed by utilizing internationally agreed free of bias *in vivo* toxicological models, targeting the vital systems. However, in addition to all, we are of the opinion that designing, adapting, and validating such new models in future for toxicity testing, route of exposure, coating material and sterility of NPs, and type of cell cultures need to be carefully considered. Moreover, the US FDA as a public health agency has also recently taken into account this important issue of toxic effects associated with products containing NPs and do not consider them either totally safe or harmful for human use, and each product will be subjected to regulation.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This invited article is the outcome of an in-house financially non-supported study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. None declared.

Downloaded from ibj.pasteur.ac.ir on 2024-04-30 ]

# REFERENCES

- 1. De Berardis B, Civitelli G, Condello M, Lista P, Pozzi R, Arancia G, Meschini, S. Exposure to ZnO nanoparticles induces oxidative stress and cytotoxicity in human colon carcinoma cells. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* 2010; **246**(3): 116-127.
- 2. Nowrouzi A, Meghrazi K, Golmohammadi T, Golestani A, Ahmadian S, Shafiezadeh M, Shajary Z, Khaghani S, Amiri AN. Cytotoxicity of subtoxic AgNP in human hepatoma cell line (HepG2) after long-term exposure. *Iranian biomedical journal* 2010; **14**(1-2): 23-32.
- 3. De Jong WH, Borm PJ. Drug delivery and nanoparticles: applications and hazards. *International journal of nanomedicine* 2008; **3**(2): 133-149.
- 4. Lewinski N, Colvin V, Drezek R. Cytotoxicity of nanoparticles. *Small* 2008; **4**(1): 26-49.
- Dreher KL. Health and environmental impact of nanotechnology: Toxicological assessment of manufactured nanoparticles. *Toxicological cciences* 2004; 77 (1): 3-5.
- Oberdörster G, Oberdörster E, Oberdörster J. Nanotoxicology: An emerging discipline evolving from studies of ultrafine particles. *Environmental health perspectives* 2005; 113(7): 823-839.
- Pourmand A, Abdollahi M. Current opinion on nanotoxicology. *Daru journal of pharmaceutical* sciences 2012; 20(1): 95.
- Vishwakarma V, Samal SS, Manoharan N. Safety and risk associated with nanoparticles-a review. *Journal of minerals and materials characterization and engineering* 2010; 9(5): 455.
- 9. Hagens WI, Oomen AG, de Jong WH, Casse FR, Sips AJ. What do we need to know about the kinetic properties of nanoparticles in the body? *Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology* 2007; **49**(3): 217-229.
- Nemmar A, Hoet PH, Vanquickenborne B, Dinsdale D, Thomeer M, Hoylaerts MF, Vanbilloen H, Mortelmans L, Nemery B. Passage of inhaled particles into the blood circulation in humans. *Circulation* 2002; **105**(4): 411-414.
- 11. Takenaka S, Karg E, Roth C, Schulz H, Ziesenis A, Heinzmann U, Schramel P, Heyder J. Pulmonary and systemic distribution of inhaled ultrafine silver particles in rats. *Environmental health perspectives* 2001; **109**(4): 547-551.
- Garnett MC, Kallinteri P. Nanomedicines and nantoxicology: some physiological principles. *Occupational medicine (Lond)* 2006; 56(5): 307-311.
- 13. Yang L, Watts DJ. Particle surface characteristics may play an important role in phytotoxicity of alumina nanoparticles. *Toxicology letters* 2005; **158**(2): 122-132.
- Donaldson K, Brown D, Clouter A, Duffin R, MacNee W, Renwick L, Tran L, Stone V. The pulmonary toxicology of ultrafine particles. *Journal aerosol medicine* 2002; 15(2): 213-220.
- Mostafalou S, Mohammadi H, Ramazani A, Abdollahi M. Different biokinetics of nanomedicines linking to their toxicity; an overview. *Daru journal of pharmaceutical sciences* 2013; 21(1): 14.

- Chen L, Yokel RA, Hennig B, Toborek M. Manufactured aluminum oxide nanoparticles decrease expression of tight junction proteins in brain vasculature. *Journal of neuroimmuno pharmacology* 2008; 3(4): 286-295.
- Radziun E, Dudkiewicz Wilczyńska J, Książek I, Nowak K, Anuszewska EL, Kunicki A, Olszyna A ,Zabkowski T. Assessment of the cytotoxicity of aluminium oxide nanoparticles on selected mammalian cells. *Toxicology in vitro* 2011; 25(8): 1694-1700.
- Alshatwi AA, Vaiyapuri Subbarayan P, Ramesh E, AL-Hazzani AA, Alsaif MA, Alwarthan AA. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles induce mitochondria-mediated cell death and upregulate the expression of signaling genes in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Journal of biochemical and molecualr toxicology* 2012; **26**(11): 469-476.
- Balasubramanyam A, Sailaja N, Mahboob M, Rahman MF, Hussain SM, Grover P. *In vivo* genotoxicity assessment of aluminium oxide nanomaterials in rat peripheral blood cells using the comet assay and micronucleus test. *Mutagenesis* 2009; 24(3): 245-251.
- KimYJ, Choi HS, Song MK, Youk DY, Kim JH ,Ryu JC. Genotoxicity of aluminum oxide (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) nanoparticle in mammalian cell lines. *Molecular and cellular toxicology* 2009; **5**: 172-178.
- S Jain, DG Hirst, JM O'sullivan. Gold nanoparticles as novel agents for cancer therapy. *The British journal of radiology* 2012; 85(1010): 101-113.
- 22. Connor EE, Mwamuka J, Gole A, Murphy CJ, Wyatt MD. Gold Nanoparticles are taken up by human cells but do not cause acute cytotoxicity. *Small* 2005; **1**(3): 325-327.
- Boisselier E, Astruc D. Gold nanoparticles in nanomedicine: preparations, imaging, diagnostics, therapies and toxicity. *Chemical society reviews* 2009; **38**(6): 1759-1782.
- 24. Goodman CM, Mccusker CD, Yilmaz T, Rotello VM. Toxicity of gold nanoparticles functionalized with cationic and anionic side chains. *Bioconjugate Chemistery* 2004; **15**(4): 897-900.
- 25. Patra HK, Banerjee S, Chaudhuri U, Lahiri P, Dasgupta AK. Cell selective response to gold nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine: nanotechnology, biologogy and medicine* 2007; **3**(2): 111-119.
- Aruoja V, Dubourguier HC, Kasemets K, Kahru A. Toxicity of nanoparticles of CuO, ZnO and TiO2 to microalgae Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata. *Science of the total environment* 2009; **407**(4): 1461-1468.
- 27. Lei R, Wu C, Yang B, Ma H, Shi C, Wang Q, Yuan Y, Liao M. Integrated metabolomic analysis of the nanosized copper particle-induced hepatotoxicity and nephro-toxicity in rats: A rapid in vivo screening method for nanotoxicity. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* 2008; **232**(2): 292-301.
- Chen Z, Meng H, Xing G, Chen C, Zhao Y, Jia G, Wang T, Yuan H, Ye C, Zhao F, Chai Z, Zhu C, Fang X, Ma B, Wan L. Acute toxicological effects of copper nanoparticles *in vivo*. *Toxicology letters* 2006; **163**(2): 109-120.
- 29. Meng H, Chen Z, Xing G, Yuan H, Chen C, Zhao F,

Zhang C, Zhao Y. Ultrahigh reactivity provokes nanotoxicity: explanation of oral toxicity of nano-copper particles. *Toxicology letters* 2007; **175**(1-3): 102-110.

- Ahamed M, Siddiqui MA, Akhtar MJ, Ahmad I, Pant AB, Alhadlaq HA. Genotoxic potential of copper oxide nanoparticles in human lung epithelial cells. *Biochemical and biophysical research Communication* 2010; **396**(2): 578-583.
- Chen X, Schluesener HJ. Nanosilver: A nanoproduct in medical application. *Toxicology letters* 2008; **176**(1): 1-12.
- 32. Tang J, Xiong L, Wang S, Wang J, Liu L, Li J, Yuan F, Xi T. Distribution, translocation and accumulation of silver nanoparticles in rats. *Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology* 2009; 9(8): 4924-4932.
- Hussain SM, Hess KL, Gearhart JM, Geiss KT, Schlager JJ. *In vitro* toxicity of nanoparticles in BRL 3A rat liver cells. *Toxicology in vitro* 2005; 19(7): 975-983.
- Foldbjerg R, Dang DA, Autrup H. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in the human lung cancer cell line, A549. *Archives of toxicology* 2011; 85(7): 743-750.
- 35. Haase A, Tentschert J, Jungnickel H, Graf P, Mantion A, Draude F, Plendl J, Goetz ME, Galla S, Masic A, Toxicity of silver nanoparticles in human macrophages: uptake, intracellular distribution and cellular responses. *Journal of physics* 2011; **304**(1): 012030.
- Huang GG, Wang CT, Tang HT, Huang YS, Yang J. ZnO nanoparticle-modified infrared internal reflection elements for selective detection of volatile organic compounds. *Analytical chemistery* 2006; **78**(7): 2397-2404.
- Huang CC, Aronstam RS, Chen DR, Huang YW. Oxidative stress, calcium homeostasis, and altered gene expression in human lung epithelial cells exposed to ZnO nanoparticles. *Toxicology in vitro* 2010; 24(1): 45-55.
- Sharma V, Anderson D, Dhawan A. Zinc oxide nanoparticles induce oxidative DNA damage and ROStriggered mitochondria mediated apoptosis in human liver cells (HepG2). *Apoptosis* 2012; 17(8): 852-70.
- 39. Brunner TJ, Wick P, Manser P, Spohn P, Grass RN, Limbach LK, Bruinink A, Stark WJ. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of oxide nanoparticles: comparison to asbestos, silica, and the effect of particle solubility. *Environmental sciences and technology* 2006; **40**(14): 4374-4381.
- Guan R, Kang T, Lu F, Zhang Z, Shen H, Liu M. Cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, and genotoxicity in human hepatocyte and embryonic kidney cells exposed to ZnO nanoparticles. *Nanoscale research letters* 2012; 7(1): 602-607.
- Meyer K, Rajanahalli P, Ahamed M, Rowe JJ, Hong Y. ZnO nanoparticles induce apoptosis in human dermal fibroblasts via p53 and p38 pathways. *Toxicology in vitro* 2011; **25**(8): 1721-1726.
- 42. Osman IF, Baumgartner A, Cemeli E, Fletcher JN, Anderson D. Genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of zinc

oxide and titanium dioxide in HEp-2 cells. *Nanomedicine (Lond)* 2010; **5**(8): 1193-1203.

- 43. Sharma V, Singh P, Pandey AK, Dhawan A. Induction of oxidative stress, DNA damage and apoptosis in mouse liver after sub-acute oral exposure to zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Mutation research/genetic toxciology and environmental mutagenesis* 2012; **745**(1-2): 84-91.
- Naqvi S, Samim M, Abdin M, Ahmed FJ, Maitra A, Prashant C, Dinda AK. Concentration-dependent toxicity of iron oxide nanoparticles mediated by increased oxidative stress. *International journal of nanomedicine* 2010; 5:983-989.
- Albukhaty S, Naderi-Manesh H, Tiraihi T. *In vitro* labeling of neural stem cells with poly-l-lysine coated super paramagnetic nanoparticles for green fluorescent protein transfection. *Iranian biomedical journal* 2013; 17(2): 71-76.
- Liu G, Gao J, Ai H, Chen X. Applications and potential toxicity of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. *Small* 2013; 9(9-10): 1533-1545.
- Zhu MT, Feng WY, Wang B, Wang TC, Gu YQ, Wang M, Wang Y, Ouyang H, Zhao YL, Chai ZF. Comparative study of pulmonary responses to nano- and submicron-sized ferric oxide in rats. *Toxicology* 2008; 247(2-3): 102-111.
- Pawelczyk E, Arbab AS, Chaudhry A, Balakumaran A, Robey PG, Frank JA. *In vitro* model of bromodeoxyuridine or iron oxide nanoparticle uptake by activated macrophages from labeled stem cells: implications for cellular therapy. *Stem cells* 2008; 26(5): 1366-1375.
- 49. Jeng HA, Swanson J. Toxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles in mammalian cells. *Jounal of environmental Science health Part A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng* 2006; **41**(12): 2699-2711.
- 50. Ge Y, Zhang Y, He S, Nie F, Teng G, Gu N. Fluorescence modified chitosan-coated magnetic nanoparticles for high-efficient cellular imaging. *Nanoscale research letters* 2009; **4**(4): 287-295.
- Delcroix GJ, Jacquart M, Lemaire L, Sindji L, Franconi F, Le Jeune JJ, Montero-Menei CN. Mesenchymal and neural stem cells labeled with HEDP-coated SPIO nanoparticles: In vitro characterization and migration potential in rat brain. *Brain research* 2009; **1255**: 18-31.
- 52. Trouiller B, Reliene R, Westbrook A, Solaimani P, Schiestl RH. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce DNA damage and genetic instability *in vivo* in mice. *Cancer research* 2009; **69**(22): 8784-8789.
- Liu R, Yin L, Pu Y, LiangG, Zhang J, Su Y, Xiao Z, Ye B. Pulmonary toxicity induced by three forms of titanium dioxide nanoparticles via intra-tracheal instillation in rats. *Progress in natural science* 2009; 19(5): 573-579.
- 54. Bhattacharya K, Davoren M, Boertz J, Schins R, Hoffmann E, Dopp E. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce oxidative stress and DNA-adduct formation but not DNA-breakage in human lung cells. *Partical and fiber toxciology* 2009; **6**:17.

- 55. Liu R, Zhang X, Pu Y, Yin L, Li Y, Zhang X, Liang G, Li X, Zhang J. Small-sized titanium dioxide nanoparticles mediate immune toxicity in rat pulmonary alveolar macrophages *in vivo. Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology* 2010; **10**(8): 5161-5169.
- Liu H, Ma L, Zhao J, Liu J, Yan J, Ruan J, Hong F. Biochemical toxicity of nano-anatase TiO2 particles in mice. *Biological trace element research* 2009; **129**(1-3): 170-180.
- 57. Huczko A. Synthesis of aligned carbon nanotubes. *Journal of applied physics* 2001; **74**: 617-638.
- Magrez A, Kasas S, Salicio V, Pasquier N, Seo JW, Celio M, Catsicas S, Schwaller B, Forro L. Cellular toxicity of carbon-based nanomaterials. *Nano letters* 2006; 6(6): 1121-1125.
- 59. Herzog E, Casey A, Lyng FM, Chambers G, Byrne HJ, Davoren M. A new approach to the toxicity testing of carbon-based nanomaterials the clonogenic assay. *Toxicology letters* 2007; **174**(1-3): 49-60.
- 60. Poland CA, Duffin R, Kinloch I, Maynard A, Wallace WA, Seaton A, Stone V, Brown S, Macnee W, Donaldson K. Carbon nanotubes introduced into the abdominal cavity of mice show asbestos-like pathogenicity in a pilot study. *National nanotechnology* 2008; 3(7): 423-428.
- Yang ST, Wang X, Jia G, Gu Y, Wang T, Nie H, Ge C, Wang H, Liu Y. Long-term accumulation and low toxicity of single-walled carbon nanotubes in intravenously exposed mice. *Toxicology letters* 2008; 181(7): 182-189.
- Donaldson K, Aitken R, Tran L, Stone V, Duffin R, Forrest G, Alexander A. Carbon nanotubes: a review of their properties in relation to pulmonary toxicology and workplace safety. *Toxicology sciences* 2006; **92**(1): 5-22.
- 63. Pulskamp K, Diabaté S, Krug HF. Carbon nanotubes show no sign of acute toxicity but induce intracellular reactive oxygen species in dependence on contaminants. *Toxicological letters* 2007; **168**(1): 58-74.
- Qingnuan L, Yan X, Xiaodong Z, Ruili L, Qieqie D, Xiaoguang S, Shaoliang C, Wenxin L. Preparation of (99m) Tc-C (60)(OH)(x) and its biodistribution studies. *Nuclear medicine and biology* 2002; 29(6): 707-710.
- 65. Kobayashi K, Kuwano M, Sueki K, Kikuchi K, AchibaY, Nakahara H, Kananishi, N, Watanabe, M, Tomura, K. Activation and tracer techniques for study of metallofullerenes. *Journal of analytical and nuclear chemistry* 2005; **192**: 81-89.
- 66. Cagle DW, Kennel SJ, Mirzadeh S, Alford JM, Wilson LJ. *In vivo* studies of fullerene-based materials using endohedral metallofullerene radiotracers. *Proceeding of the national academy of sciences of the United States of America* 1999; **96**(9): 5182-5187.
- Dhawan A, Taurozzi JS, Pandey AK, Shan W, Miller SM, Hashsham SA, Tarabara VV. Stable colloidal dispersions of C60 fullerenes in water: evidence for genotoxicity. *Environmental science and technology* 2006; 40(23): 7394-7401.
- 68. Niwa Y, Iwai N. Genotoxicity in cell lines induced by chronic exposure to water-soluble fullerenes using

micronucleus test. *Environmental health preventive medicine* 2006; **11**(6): 292-297.

- Jacobsen NR, Pojana G, White P, Moller P, Cohn CA, Korsholm KS, Vogel U, Marcomini A, Loft S, Wallin H. Genotoxicity, cytotoxicity, and reactive oxygen species induced by single-walled carbon nanotubes and C(60) fullerenes in the FE1-Mutatrade markMouse lung epithelial cells. *Environmental and molecular mutagenesis* 2008; **49**(6): 476-487.
- Wilczewska AZ, Niemirowicz K, Markiewicz KH, Car H. Nanoparticles as drug delivery systems. *Pharmacological reports* 2012; 64(5): 1020-1037.
- Balduzzi M, Diociaiuti M, De Berardis B, Paradisi S, Paoletti L. *In vitro* effects on macrophages induced by noncytotoxic doses of silica particles possibly relevant to ambient exposure. *Environmental research* 2004; 96(1): 62-71.
- 72. Park EJ, Park K. Oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory responses induced by silica nanoparticles *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Toxicological letters* 2009; **184**(1): 18-25.
- 73. Lin W, Huang YW, Zhou XD, Ma Y. *In vitro* toxicity of silica nanoparticles in human lung cancer cells. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* 2006; **217**(3): 252-259.
- 74. Cho M, Cho WS, Choi M, Kim SJ, Han BS, Kim SH, Kim HO, Sheen YY, Jeong J. The impact of size on tissue distribution and elimination by single intravenous injection of silica nanoparticles. *Toxicological letters* 2009; **189**(3): 177-183.
- Cho WS, Choi M, Han BS, Cho M, Oh J, Park K, Kim SJ, Kim SH, Jeong J. Inflammatory mediators induced by intratracheal instillation of ultrafine amorphous silica particles. *Toxicology letters* 2007; **175**(1-3): 24-33
- 76. Sun L, Li Y, Liu X, Jin M, Zhang L, Du Z, Guo C, Huang P, Sun Z. Cytotoxicity and mitochondrial damage caused by silica nanoparticles. *Toxicology in vitro* 2011; **25**(8): 1619-1629.
- Nishimori H, Kondoh M, Isoda K, Tsunoda S, Tsutsumi Y, Yagi K. Silica nanoparticles as hepatotoxicants. *European journal of pharmseutics and biopharmseutics* 2009; 72(3): 496-501.
- Panyam J, Labhasetwar V. Biodegradable nano-particles for drug and gene delivery to cells and tissue. *Advanced drug delivery reviews* 2003; 55(3): 329-347.
- 79. Grabowski N, Hillaireau H, Vergnaud J, Tsapis N, Pallardy M, Kerdine-Röme S, Fattal E. Surface coating mediates the toxicity of polymeric nanoparticles towards human-like macrophages. *International journal of pharmseutics* 2015; **482**(1-2): 75-83.
- Pisanic TR, Blackwell JD, Shubayev VI, Fiñones RR, Jin S. Nanotoxicity of iron oxide nanoparticle internalization in growing neurons. *Biomaterials* 2007; 28(16): 2572-2581.
- Gal-Edd GS, N Arkin M. Auld D. Austin C. Bejcek B. Glicksman M. Inglese J. Lemmon and V. Li Z, Cell Viability Assays. In: Assay Guidance Manual, Bethesda (MD); 2004.
- 82. Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *Journal of immunological methods*

Downloaded from ibj.pasteur.ac.ir on 2024-04-30 ]

1983; **65**(1-2): 55-63.

- Monteiro-Riviere NA, Inman AO, Zhang LW. Limitations and relative utility of screening assays to assess engineered nanoparticle toxicity in a human cell line. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* 2009; 234(2): 222-235.
- Plumb JA, Milroy R, Kaye SB. Effects of the pH dependence of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5diphenyltetrazolium bromide-formazan absorption on chemosensitivity determined by a novel tetrazoliumbased assay. *Cancer research* 1989; **49**(16): 4435-4440.
- Granchi D, Ciapetti G, Savarino L, Cavedagna D, Donati ME, Pizzoferrato A. Assessment of metal extract toxicity on human lymphocytes cultured *in vitro*. *Journal of biomedical materials research part B*; **31**(2): 183-191.
- Moe B, Gabos S, Li XF. Real-time cell-microelectronic sensing of nanoparticle-induced cytotoxic effects. *Analytica Chimica acta* 2013; **789**: 83-90.
- Davis RR, Lockwood PE, Hobbs DT, Messer RJ, Price RJ, Lewis JB, WatahaJC. *In vitro* biological effects of sodium titanate materials. *Journal of biomedical materials research* 2007; 83(2): 505-511.
- Kroll A, Pillukat MH, Hahn D, Schnekenburger J. Current *in vitro* methods in nanoparticle risk assessment: Limitations and challenges. *European journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* 2009; **72**(2): 370-377.
- Korzeniewski C, Callewaert DM. An enzyme-release assay for natural cytotoxicity. *Journal of immunological methods* 1983; 64(3): 313-320.
- Sayes CM, Reed KL, Warheit DB. Assessing toxicity of fine and nanoparticles: comparing *in vitro* measurements to *in vivo* pulmonary toxicity profiles. *Toxicological sciences* 2007; 97(1): 163-180.
- 91. Yacobi NR, Phuleria HC, Demaio L, Liang CH, Peng CA, Sioutas C, Borok K, Kim KJ, Crandall ED. Nanoparticle effects on rat alveolar epithelial cell monolayer barrier properties. *Toxicology in vitro* 2007; 21(8): 1373-1381.
- 92. Roberts JE, Wielgus AR, Boyes WK, Andley U, Chignell CF. Phototoxicity and cytotoxicity of fullerol in human lens epithelial cells. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* 2008; **228**(1): 49-58.

- 93. Lison D, Thomassen LC, Rabolli V, Gonzalez L, Napierska D, Seo JW, Kirsch-Volders M, Hoet P, Kirschhock CE, Martens JA. Nominal and effective dosimetry of silica nanoparticles in cytotoxicity assays. *Toxicological sciences* 2008; **104**(1): 155-162.
- Nachlas MM, Margulies SI, Goldberg JD, Seligman AM. The determination of lactic dehydrogenase with a tetrazolium salt. *Analytical biochemistry* 1960; 1: 317-326.
- 95. Suska F, Gretzer C, Esposito M, TengvallP, Thomsen P. Monocyte viability on titanium and copper coated titanium. *Biomaterials* 2005; **26** (30): 5942-5950.
- 96. Oberdörster G, Maynard A, Donaldson K, Castranova V, Fitzpatrick J, Ausman K, Carter J, Karn B, Kreyling W, Lai D, Olin S, Monteiro-Riviere N, Warheit D, Yang H, ILSI Research Foundation/Risk Science Institute Nanomaterial Toxicity Screening Working Group. Principles for characterizing the potential human health effects from exposure to nanomaterials: elements of a screening strategy. *Particle and fibre toxicology* 2005; **2**: 8.
- 97. Schulze C, Kroll A, Lehr CM, Schäfer UF, Becker K, Schnekenburger J, Isfort CS, Landsiedel R, Wohlleben W. Not ready to use-overcoming pitfalls when dispersing nanoparticles in physiological media. *Nanotoxicology* 2015; **2**: 51-61.
- 98. Donaldson K, Borm P, Castranova V, Gulumian M. The limits of testing particle-mediated oxidative stress in vitro in predicting diverse pathologies; relevance for testing of nanoparticles. *Particle and fibre toxicology* 2009; 6: 13.
- Shetab-Boushehri SV, Abdollahi M. Current concerns on the validity of in vitro models that use transformed neoplastic cells in pharmacology and toxicology. *International journal of pharmacology* 2012; 8: 594-595.
- 100. Liu LP, Xiao YB, Xiao ZW, Wang ZB, Li C, Gong X. Toxicity of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles on rabbits. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* 2005; **34**: 474-476.
- 101. Bagher Z, Rajaei F, Shokrgoza M. Comparative study of bone repair using porous hydroxyapatite/β-tricalcium phosphate and xenograft scaffold in rabbits with tibia defect. *Iranian biomedical journal* 2012; 16(1): 18-24.

Downloaded from ibj.pasteur.ac.ir on 2024-04-30 ]